

Nilpotent quantum mechanics, qubits, and flavors of entanglement

BY ANDRZEJ M. FRYDRYSZAK

*Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Wrocław, pl. Born'a 9, 50-204 Wrocław,
Poland*

We address the question of description of qubit system in a formalism based on the nilpotent commuting η variables. In this formalism qubits exhibit properties of composite objects being subject of the Pauli exclusion principle, but otherwise behaving boson-like. They are not fundamental particles. In such an approach the classical limit yields the nilpotent mechanics.

Using the space of η -wavefunctions, generalized Schrödinger equation etc. we study properties of pure qubit systems and also properties of some composed, hybrid models: fermion-qubit, boson-qubit. The fermion-qubit system can be truly supersymmetric, with both SUSY partners having identical spectra. It is new and very interesting that SUSY transformations relate here only nilpotent object. The η -eigenfunctions for the qubit-qubit system give the set of Bloch vectors as a natural basis.

Then the η -formalism is applied to the description of the pure state entanglement. Nilpotent commuting variables were firstly used in this context in [A. Mandilara, et. al., Phys. Rev. A **74**, 022331 (2006)], we generalize and extend approach presented there. Our main tool for study the entanglement or separability of states are Wronskians of η -functions. The known invariants and entanglement monotones for systems of $n = 2, 3, 4$ qubits are expressed in terms of the Wronskians. This approach gives criteria for separability of states and insight into the flavor of entanglement of the system and simplifies description.

Keywords: nilpotent commuting variables, nilpotent mechanics, entanglement, entanglement monotones, Stirling numbers, Bell numbers, symmetric polynomials, supersymmetric quantum mechanics, qubit-fermion systems

Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Canonical qubit relations	5
3. η -formalism	7
(a) $\mathcal{N}$ -numbers	7
(b) η -functions	8
(c) Distinguished η -functions	11
(d) Symmetric functions	12
(e) η -calculus	14
4. Qubit systems in $\mathcal{N}$ -Hilbert space	17
(a) Natural bases: monomial, trigonometric	19
(b) η -kernels	20
5. Nilpotent quantum mechanics and η -Schrödinger equation	21
6. Two component nilpotent systems	23
(a) boson-fermion	25
(b) qubit-fermion	25
(c) qubit-qubit	27
(d) qubit-boson	27
7. Separability and entanglement in $\mathcal{N}$ -Hilbert space representation	27
(a) Factorization of η -functions and pure state entanglement	28
(b) Factorization of $F(\eta_1, \eta_2)$	28
(c) Factorization of $F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$	29
(d) Factorization of $F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4)$	33
8. Entanglement monotones and flavors of entanglement of η -functions	35
(a) $n=2$	35
(b) $n=3$	36
(c) $n=4$	38
9. Conclusions	41
Appendix A. Permanent and Hafnian	43
Appendix B. Index conversion table	43
Appendix C. Proof of factorization for $n=2$	44
Appendix D. Proof of the strong factorization criterion for $n=3$	44
Appendix E. Proof of the factorization condition of F for $n=4$	46
Appendix F. $n=3$ Hyperdeterminant in terms of Wronskians	47
Appendix G. Representatives of entanglement SLOCC classes in natural form	48

1. Introduction

Qubit systems [1] are conventionally described in symmetric tensor products of the two dimensional complex Hilbert spaces. In such an approach there is no classical limit for the qubit understood as a particle. On the other hand, from the supersymmetry theory we know that one can consider classical limit for fermions, at cost of introducing the new anticommuting variables. It turns out that similar goal can be achieved for qubits, but this time we have to introduce the nilpotent commuting variables. Such a new formalism is complementary to the conventional one, and gives natural setting to answer the entanglement questions. The aim of this work is to develop relevant formalism and apply it to the description of entanglement.

As we already mentioned, one-particle space of the qubit is a two dimensional Hilbert space and its collective behavior is a boson-like. In terms of the Fock space it means that tensor product of qubit states is symmetric. Qubit from this point of view exhibits mixture

Table 1. Generalized commutation relations.

Type	Single site rel.	Different site rel.	Symmetry
Bosons (CCR algebra)	$[b, b^+]_- = 1$ $[b, b]_- = 0$ $[b^+, b^+]_- = 0$	$[b_i, b_j^+]_- = 0$ $[b_i, b_j]_- = 0$ $[b_i^+, b_j^+]_- = 0$	+
Fermions (CAR algebra)	$[f, f^+]_+ = 1$ $[f, f]_+ = 0$ $[f^+, f^+]_+ = 0$	$[f_i, f_j^+]_+ = 0$ $[f_i, f_j]_+ = 0$ $[f_i^+, f_j^+]_+ = 0$	-
Parabosons	$[e, e^+]_- = 1 - 2\lambda K$ $[e, e]_- = 0$ $[e^+, e^+]_- = 0$	$[e_i, e_j^+]_- = 0$ $[e_i, e_j]_- = 0$ $[e_i^+, e_j^+]_- = 0$	+
Parafermions (Spin algebra)	$[a, a^+]_+ = 1$ $[a, a]_+ = 0$ $[a^+, a^+]_+ = 0$	$[a_i, a_j^+]_- = 0$ $[a_i, a_j]_- = 0$ $[a_i^+, a_j^+]_- = 0$	+
Qubits (Qubit algebra)	$[d, d^+]_- = 1 - 2N$ $d^2 = 0$ $(d^+)^2 = 0$	$[d_i, d_j^+]_- = 0$ $[d_i, d_j]_- = 0$ $[d_i^+, d_j^+]_- = 0$	+

of the boson and fermion properties. Only separated qubit is like a fermion. To adequately describe qubits we have to play with the commutation or anticommutation relations on the one side, and symmetry properties of the tensor product on the other side. Bosons and fermions can be organized in unique graded structure where commutators, anticommutators, parity of elements of graded algebra, and symmetry of tensor product is consistent. They play distinguished role, because they describe fundamental particles. However, there are other useful objects related to parastatistics. Parafermions and parabosons were defined by tri-linear relations in ref. [2] over fifty years ago and have their place in quantum field theory [3]. Here in our introductory discussion we use the reduced bi-linear form of these relations, that is satisfactory for our purposes, but less general than tree-linear relations. Such reduced form is used in the entries of the Table 1 concerning parafermions and parabosons. A nice discussion of the definition of the qubit, stressing that qubits are neither bosons nor fermions, is given by Wu and Lidar [4]. We departure from their definition of qubit as a parafermion, but find it more useful to reformulate defining conditions using the commutator and nilpotency conditions. We collect defining properties of relevant objects in the Table 1 to compare various approaches with canonical commutation relations (CCR), canonical anticommutation relations (CAR), and parabosonic or parafermionic relations. In the last column there are given eigenvalues ± 1 of the transposition (flip) map τ , where $\tau_{ii+1}(\dots \otimes \psi_i \otimes \psi_{i+1} \dots) = \dots \otimes \psi_{i+1} \otimes \psi_i \dots$. The two dimensional state space of a single qubit system is naturally obtained from nilpotent creation/annihilation operators. Taking

commutators (symmetric tensor products) for many-site system we obtain parafermions. This approach is adopted most frequently cf. Ref.[4]. To find another description of qubits we rewrite the set of (anti)commutation relations for parafermions in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} [d, d^+]_- &= 1 - 2N, & [d_i, d_j^+]_- &= 0, & [d_i, d_j]_- &= 0, & [d_i^+, d_j^+]_- &= \mathbf{0}, \\ d^2 &= 0, & (d^+)^2 &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where N can be seen as particle number operator. As it is known there is no classical limit, in the usual sense, for fermions. Only introduction of the Grassmannian variables allows to define such a limit. Analogously for qubits, to get nontrivial classical limit we have to introduce nilpotent, but this time - commuting variables. Namely,

$$\eta\eta' = \eta'\eta, \quad \eta^2 = \eta'^2 = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

This provides that single qubit is two-level one, in the same time it is boson-like when considered in multi-qubit system. In the approach, where the qubits are realized within the conventionally defined spin algebra (parafermions), natural classical limit of such system yields the anticommuting co-ordinates [4], like for fermions. Such anticommuting coordinates imply naturally the antisymmetry of the tensor product, and nilpotent "bosonic" objects again have to be represented by even product of anticommuting variables. That is why parafermions in such a setting do not suit our demands. To describe qubit without referring to its composite character one has to use nilpotent commuting variables. But we have to pay for using the nilpotent η -variables; the η -derivative does not fulfil the Leibniz rule [5, 6]. On the other hand, the system of many qubits in the η -formalism has the same property as observed in [4] for parafermions, that it behaves for large n like boson, in particular becomes not nilpotent. Already in the 1994 Palumbo [7] noted, in the context of high energy physics, that nilpotent commuting variables:

[nilpotent commuting variables] are not just abstract mathematical entities devoid of any physical interpretation, but describe composites of fermions, so that models can be of phenomenological importance.

The formalism of η -functions which later will be used to describe the nilpotent quantum mechanics was discussed in more detail in [5, 8, 9]. Properties of such functions allow to answer many questions related to the entanglement. Criteria of factorization of states coming from this formalism are natural, are derived by simple argument and are equivalent to the ones known from the invariants theory approach. In the work of Wu and Lidar there is analysis of the notion of qubit from the particle point of view and is given the parafermionic description of this object. In our approach we rewrite the parafermionic character of qubit in such a way that the commutators and nilpotency conditions are used in defining relations, but resulting properties are analogous as in [4]. Despite presented above fundamental arguments for representing qubits with use of the η -variables, arguments related to symmetry of qubit state product and nilpotency; it was found by Mandilara et al. in [10, 11] that nilpotent commuting variables are very useful tool in the description of entanglement. One may think that such formalism has deeper roots, and like for fermions one can consider (pseudo)classical systems of superparticles, then quantize them. Here we should have the formalism of nilpotent mechanics for systems that after quantization will give the (quantum) qubit systems.

Nilpotent commuting variables, besides the quantum mechanics [10], are present in the theoretical physics in several contexts: nuclear and high energy physics, string theory

[12, 13, 14, 7, 15], as well as in mentioned before classical nilpotent mechanics. For review of some applications cf. Ref. [9].

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we describe the qubit system in such a way that it has the proposed above classical limit realized by the commuting nilpotent coordinates η . Then necessary formalism based on η -coordinates is presented. We recall definition of the η -numbers, η -functions [5] and then develop it by studying properties of the elementary η -functions, in particular: exponent, logarithm, and trigonometric functions. It turns out that the Stirling numbers, the ordered Bell numbers, and the second kind Bell numbers are related to the expansions of specific η -functions. The following section we devote to the introduction of the symmetric η -polynomials and Hermite η -polynomials. Then the rudiments of the η -calculus are given with the η -integral, the η -Fourier transform as well as the η -integral form of the Stirling and the normal Bell numbers. Having above tools we introduce the notion of the $\mathcal{N}$ -Hilbert space which will allow to describe qubit systems. Using the η -integral we define the $\mathcal{N}$ -scalar product in the space of the η -functions (wave η -functions) and the structure of the $\mathcal{N}$ -Hilbert space. It turns out that natural bases in such spaces are closely related to the ones used in the description of the entanglement of qubit systems. Here, such bases have natural geometrical meaning. We also present η -kernels of some basic operators acting in $\mathcal{N}$ -Hilbert space.

In the $\mathcal{N}$ -Hilbert space of the wave η -functions one can consider the generalized Schrödinger equation. Formerly, such equation, but in a different form (logarithmic form), was proposed by Mandiliara et al. [10]. In the Section 6 we study twocomponent nilpotent systems and the eigenvalue problem for qubit system covering various types of couplings (Ising, XY etc.). It is interesting that the set of eigenvector for these systems is composed of the Bell vectors. There are also considered composed systems of the qubit and boson, the qubit and fermion, and the qubit-qubit. As specially interesting we find the qubit-fermion system with the supersymmetry acting between two nilpotent parts.

In the Sec. 7 there is addressed question of the separability of the η -variable dependence of the η -functions and a "duality" of such notion to the entanglement. In the conventional function theory, the separability questions are answered using the appropriate Wronskians [16]. Here we find that there can be considered special generalizations of Wronski matrices, and that their traces and determinants serve as a tool to detect the conditions for separability of functions. What is remarkable, one can express relevant invariants known from the general theory and entanglement monotones used in recent works on entanglement, in terms of Wronskians. This gives additional insight into the structure or flavor of entanglement. In the description we follow the number of qubits, $n = 2, 3, 4$. In the present work we answer only the question of the pure state entanglement. Finally, conclusions are given in Sec.9. The work is accompanied with several Appendices containing relevant auxiliary material and derivations of important facts.

2. Canonical qubit relations

As we have mentioned in the introduction we shall follow Wu and Lidar, and by the qubit we denote a two-level quantum object with encoded boson-like behavior in many particle system, and in the same time, underlying the Pauli exclusion principle. As it was already noted in Ref. [12, 13, 14, 15, 4, 10, 8, 5, 9] such a somehow hybrid object is not a fundamental particle, like boson or fermion and inherently carries properties of a composed object, but it can be described without any explicit reference to the constituents and the way it is composed of. The formalism we develop provides independent description of the

qubit itself and gives very convenient characterization of qubit pure state entanglement. The nilpotent commuting variables were already used in the context of entanglement by Maniliara et al. in [10].

In the analogous way as the fermion and fermionic states are understood, one can define the qubit system by means of the so called linear commutation relations to have on the same footing canonical commutation relations (CCR), canonical anticommutation relations (CAR) and canonical qubit relations (CQR). To take into account boson-like behavior of qubit we prefer to use only commutators, and realize the Pauli exclusion principle, imposing nilpotency conditions without referring to anticommutators. Such defined object is parafermionic, but the form of relations stresses its boson-like properties. This is also important from the point of view of supersymmetry. As we shall see later one can define supersymmetric system including qubits. Within present scheme, qubits will be even object in the graded (supersymmetric) structure, and underly commutation relations as such.

To describe qubit as an object which is nilpotent but otherwise boson-like, let us observe that the commutator of qubit creation operator d^+ and qubit annihilation operator d if nontrivial, cannot have a value in the center of commutator algebra. This would give a contradiction with nilpotency. Therefore let us make the following ansatz

$$[d, d^+]_- = 1 - 2N \quad (2.1)$$

On the other hand compatibility condition with the nilpotency of d, d^+ takes the following form

$$[d, d^+]_+ = 1 + 2Z, \quad (2.2)$$

where $Z = (d^+d - N)$ is an element from the center of the algebra. Moreover

$$[N, d]_+ = d \quad (2.3)$$

$$[N, d^+]_+ = d^+ \quad (2.4)$$

The canonical qubit relations (CQR) consist of the following set of conditions

$$[d, d^+]_- = 1 - 2N \quad (2.5)$$

$$[N, d]_- = d \quad (2.6)$$

$$[N, d^+]_- = -d^+ \quad (2.7)$$

$$d^2 = (d^+)^2 = 0 \quad (2.8)$$

In particular one can take $N = d^+d$. For fermionic operators we have $(a + a^+)^2 = 1$, and here for qubit operators

$$(d + d^+)^2 = 1 + 2Z, \quad (2.9)$$

where for the $N = d^+d$, $Z = 0$. As we shall show in the following sections, the CQR can be naturally realized within the η -function space by means of η -differential and multiplication operators.

Using for the CQR the argument of Wu and Lidar ([4]) one can see that the set of n qubits for the large n behaves like a boson. Namely

$$b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i \quad (2.10)$$

$$b^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^+ \quad (2.11)$$

then

$$[b, b^+]_- = 1 - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n N_i. \quad (2.12)$$

Hence, when the number of sites n is much larger then the effective number of qubits we get $[b, b^+]_- \approx 1$.

3. η -formalism

We want to realize the CQR relations in the space of functions of the commuting nilpotent variables η , what suggests the classical limit considered in the Introduction. Because of the nilpotency of the η -variables we can expect some similarities to the superanalysis, but this two formalisms are different. The nilpotency is natural for anticommuting (odd) elements but for commuting (even) elements it is a result of restrictive condition. In the following sections let us collect and introduce some necessary notions.

(a) $\mathcal{N}$ -numbers

To introduce necessary functions for describing system of qubits we shall consider nilpotent commuting η -variables defined in Ref. [5] as special elements of an algebra $\mathcal{N}$. We recall, that the algebra $\mathcal{N}$ is freely generated by the set of first order nilpotents and the unit. Each element $\nu \in \mathcal{N}$ can be decomposed into the numerical (real or complex) part called body and into the nilpotent part called soul

$$\nu = b(\nu) + s(\nu) \quad (3.1)$$

Any element with a nonzero body has an inverse

$$\nu^{-1} = b(\nu)^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-b(\nu)^{-1} s(\nu))^m \quad (3.2)$$

Analogous formula is known for superalgebra [17, 18]. It is useful to note, that for elements with $b(\nu) = 1$ it takes the form

$$(1 + s(\nu))^{-1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-s(\nu))^m \quad (3.3)$$

literary generalizing the celebrated formula for real numbers $\frac{1}{1+t} = \sum (-t)^m$.

The η -variables are first order nilpotents, $\eta \in \mathcal{N}$, $\eta^2 = 0$. They form subset $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{N}$. Let $\eta \neq \eta'$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$ then

$$(t\eta + (1-t)\eta)^2 = 2t(t-1)\eta\eta' \quad (3.4)$$

Therefore η 's algebraically dependent with fixed η element (i.e. $\eta\eta' = 0$) form a star-convex set. For algebraically independent elements it is not true unless we admit other, higher order nilpotents to enter the set. Further details on the $\mathcal{N}$ algebra can be found in [5].

(b) η -functions

One can define functions depending on η -variables, where these variables are not fixed "generators" but can vary within some set [5]. If we take η_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ to be a set of independent nilpotent elements i.e.

$$\eta_i^2 = 0 \quad \forall i, \quad \eta_1 \cdot \eta_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \eta_n \neq 0 \quad (3.5)$$

and $\vec{\eta} = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)$. Using notation that $I_0 = \emptyset$ and $I_k = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$ is ordered multi-index. One can write the expansion of function $F(x, \vec{\eta}) \in \mathcal{F}[\vec{\eta}]$ of the n η -variables in the general form

$$F(x, \vec{\eta}) = \sum_{k, I_k}^n F_{I_k}(x) \eta_{I_k}, \quad (3.6)$$

where $F_{I_k}(x) \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\eta_{I_0} = 1$. In the present paper we shall consider only functions with $F_{I_k}(x) \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$. This set we shall denote by $\mathcal{F}_0[x, \vec{\eta}]$. Let us observe that there is a natural mapping Ξ from the set of symmetric $n \times n$ matrices to the $\mathcal{F}_0[\eta^1, \dots, \eta^n]$. We take as components F_{I_k} the principal minors of a matrix with entries indexed by $I_k = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$, moreover we assume that $F_\emptyset = 1$ e.g.

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \Xi(B) = F(\eta^1, \eta^2) = 1 + b_{11}\eta^1 + b_{22}\eta^2 + (b_{11}b_{22} - b_{12}^2)\eta^1\eta^2 \quad (3.7)$$

In the set of η -functions $\mathcal{F}_n(\vec{\eta})$ there exists $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gradation related to the decomposition into the sets of even and odd functions. The gradation mapping J can be defined as usual by relations

$$J(1) = 1, \quad J(\eta_i) = -\eta_i, \text{ and } J(\eta^{I_k}) = J(\eta^{i_1})J(\eta^{i_2}) \dots J(\eta^{i_k}) \quad (3.8)$$

Another important operation which will be frequently used is duality transformation. It resembles the the Hodge $\star$ -operator known for exterior forms. The duality operator Θ we shall define as follows

$$\Theta(\eta_{I_k}) = \eta_{I_{n-k}}, \quad I_k \cup I_{n-k} = I_n, \quad (3.9)$$

naturally $\Theta(1) = \eta_1\eta_2 \dots \eta_n$ and $\Theta^2 = id$. Functions such, that $\Theta(F(\vec{\eta})) = F(\vec{\eta})$ we shall call selfdual, and these with $\Theta(F(\vec{\eta})) = -F(\vec{\eta})$ antiselfdual. In the sequel we shall use Θ notation for this operation or we will denote dualization by $\star$, i.e. $\star F \equiv \Theta(F)$.

In the next section, in view of further applications we consider some elementary η -functions.

(i) Elementary η -functions

To describe qubit systems we shall use elementary η -functions. They can be defined by means of a series analogous to the conventional elementary functions. Firstly we introduce explicit form of powers of the $\mathcal{F}_0[x, \vec{\eta}]$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$. In the following we shall omit the x -variable dependence. Providing explicit formulas we have in mind further concrete considerations for n -qubit systems, $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Power function. In the formulas below we sum over strictly ordered configurations of indices and appropriate multiplicities of terms are taken into account.

n = 1:

$$F(\eta) = F_0 + F_1\eta \quad (3.10)$$

$$F(\eta)^m = F_0^{m-1}(F_0 + F_1\eta), \quad (3.11)$$

n = 2:

$$F(\eta^1, \eta^2) = F_0 + F_1\eta^1 + F_2\eta^2 + F_{12}\eta^1\eta^2 = F_0 + F_i\eta^i + F_{ij}\eta^i\eta^j \quad (3.12)$$

$$F(\eta^1, \eta^2)^m = F_0^{m-1}(F_0 + mF^i\eta_i) + F_0^{m-2}(nF_0F_{12} + m(m-1)F_1F_2)\eta_1\eta_2 \quad (3.13)$$

n = 3:

$$F(\eta^1, \eta^2, \eta^3) = F_0 + F_1\eta^1 + F_2\eta^2 + F_3\eta^3 + F_{12}\eta^1\eta^2 + F_{13}\eta^1\eta^3 + F_{23}\eta^2\eta^3 + F_{123}\eta^1\eta^2\eta^3 = F_0 + F_i\eta^i + F_{ij}\eta^i\eta^j + F_{ijk}\eta^i\eta^j\eta^k \quad (3.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(\eta^1, \eta^2, \eta^3)^m = & F_0^{m-1}(F_0 + mF_i\eta^i) + F_0^{m-2}(mF_0F_{12} \\ & + m(m-1)F_1F_2)\eta_1\eta_2 + F_0^{m-2}(mF_0F_{13} \\ & + m(m-1)F_1F_3)\eta_1\eta_3 + F_0^{m-2}(mF_0F_{23} \\ & + m(m-1)F_2F_3)\eta_2\eta_3 + F_0^{m-3}(m(m-1)F_0(F_1F_{23} \\ & + F_2F_{13} + F_3F_{12}) + m(m-1)(m-2)F_1F_2F_3 \\ & + mF_0^2F_{123})\eta^1\eta^2\eta^3 = F_0^{m-1}(F_0 + mF_i\eta^i) \\ & + F_0^{m-2}(mF_0F_{ij} + m(m-1)F_iF_j)\eta_i\eta_j + F_0^{m-3}(m(m-1) \\ & F_0F_iF_{jk} + m(m-1)(m-2)F_iF_jF_k + mF_0^2F_{ijk})\eta^i\eta^j\eta^k \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

n = 4:

$$F(\eta^1, \eta^2, \eta^3, \eta^4) = F_0 + F_i\eta^i + F_{ij}\eta^i\eta^j + F_{ijk}\eta^i\eta^j\eta^k + F_{ijkl}\eta^i\eta^j\eta^k\eta^l \quad (3.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(\eta^1, \eta^2, \eta^3, \eta^4)^m = & F_0^{m-1}(F_0 + mF_i\eta^i) + F_0^{m-2}(mF_0F_{ij} + m(m-1) \\ & F_iF_j)\eta_i\eta_j + F_0^{m-3}(mF_0^2F_{ijk} \\ & + m(m-1)F_0F_iF_{jk} + m(m-1)(m-2) \\ & \cdot F_iF_jF_k)\eta^i\eta^j\eta^k + F_0^{m-4}(nF_0^3F_{ijkl} \\ & + m(m-1)F_0F_iF_{jkl} + m(m-1)F_0F_{ij}F_{kl} \\ & + m(m-1)(m-2)(m-3) \cdot F_iF_jF_kF_l)\eta^i\eta^j\eta^k\eta^l \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

A cautionary remark: to put the formulas for powers of arbitrary $F(\vec{\eta})$ in a compact form we use the following conventions: when term gets negative power of $(F_0)^{m-k}$ - it vanishes; for the terms with the factor $(F_0)^0$ in front, we put $(F_0)^0 = 1$. Only after such preliminary adjustments we substitute actual values of F_{I_k} . In the present work we will be satisfied with the above formulas for $n \leq 4$, but generalization to higher n is straightforward.

Exponent. The η -exponent we define using conventional expansion, what gives

$$e^{F(\vec{\eta})} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{F(\vec{\eta})^n}{n!} = e^{F_0} e^{s(F(\vec{\eta}))} \quad (3.18)$$

Logarithm. Again definition of logarithm function is conventional but it turns out that its terms in the expansion have very interesting relations to hyperdeterminants. In this context logarithm of functions of commuting nilpotent variables was considered in [10]. We shall come back to this in the context of separability. For η -functions with unit body one can define

$$\ln(1 + s(F(\vec{\eta}))) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{s(F(\vec{\eta}))^k}{k}, \quad (3.19)$$

where $s(F(\vec{\eta}))$ is the soul of function $F(\vec{\eta})$ i.e. here $F(\vec{\eta}) = 1 + s(F(\vec{\eta}))$. Again let us consider explicit formulas for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$ using expansions with $F_0 = 1$.

n = 1:

$$\ln(1 + s(F(\eta))) = F_1 \eta \quad (3.20)$$

n = 2:

$$\ln(1 + s(F(\eta^1, \eta^2))) = F_i \eta^i + (F_{ij} - F_i F_j) \eta^i \eta^j \quad (3.21)$$

n = 3:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(1 + s(F(\eta^1, \eta^2, \eta^3))) &= F_i \eta^i + (F_{ij} - F_i F_j) \eta^i \eta^j \\ &+ (F_{ijk} - F_i F_{jk} + 2F_i F_j F_k) \eta^i \eta^j \eta^k \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Using notation $f(\vec{\eta}) = \ln(1 + s(F(\eta))) = \ln(F(\eta))$ we can find the following identity for components of logarithm for functions belonging to the image of the mapping Ξ for $n = 3$ [19]. Let us note that this identity was derived in [19] without any relation to the η -logarithm.

$$f_{123}^2 = -4f_{12}f_{13}f_{23} = 4b_{12}^2 b_{13}^2 b_{23}^2 \quad (3.23)$$

n = 4:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(1 + s(F(\eta^1, \eta^2, \eta^3, \eta^4))) &= F_i \eta^i + (F_{ij} - F_i F_j) \eta^i \eta^j + (F_{ijk} - F_i F_{jk} \\ &+ 2F_i F_j F_k) \eta^i \eta^j \eta^k + (F_{ijkl} - F_{ij} F_{kl} \\ &- F_i F_{jkl} + 2F_i F_j F_{kl} - 6F_i F_j F_k F_l) \eta^i \eta^j \eta^k \eta^l \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Here as well there exist identities for components of logarithm of function from the image of the Ξ mapping, namely [19]

$$f_{ijk} f_{ijl} f_{ikl} = -4f_{ikl} f_{ij} f_{ij} f_{ik} f_{jl} \quad (3.25)$$

$$-2f_{ijkl} f_{ij} f_{ik} f_{il} = f_{ikl} f_{ijk} f_{ij} f_{il} + f_{ikl} f_{ijl} f_{ij} f_{ik} + f_{ijl} f_{ijk} f_{ik} f_{il} \quad (3.26)$$

Trigonometric functions. This family of η -functions is defined by formal series analogous to the conventional one, namely let $F = \sum F_{I_k} \eta^{I_k}$, $F_{I_k} \in \mathcal{R}$

$$\cos(F(\vec{\eta})) = \sum_{k=0} (-1)^k \frac{F^{2k}}{(2k)!} \quad (3.27)$$

$$\sin(F(\vec{\eta})) = \sum_{k=0} (-1)^k \frac{F^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} \quad (3.28)$$

Obviously $\cos^2(F) + \sin^2(F) = 1$ and we have

$$\cos(F) = \cos(F_0)\cos(s(F)) - \sin(F_0)\sin(s(F)) \quad (3.29)$$

$$\sin(F) = \sin(F_0)\cos(s(F)) + \cos(F_0)\sin(s(F)) \quad (3.30)$$

for a function of one η variable we obtain that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos(F(\eta)) \\ \sin(F(\eta)) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(F_0) & -\sin(F_0) \\ \sin(F_0) & \cos(F_0) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ F_1 \eta \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.31)$$

For further considerations we will need $\sin(\sum \eta^i)$ and $\cos(\sum \eta^i)$ in explicit form for $n = 2, 3, 4$.

n = 2:

$$\cos(\eta^1 + \eta^2) = 1 - \eta^1 \eta^2 \quad (3.32)$$

$$\sin(\eta^1 + \eta^2) = \eta^1 + \eta^2 \quad (3.33)$$

Let us note that $\cos(\eta^1 + \eta^2)$ is antiselfdual and $\sin(\eta^1 + \eta^2)$ is selfdual.

n = 3:

$$\cos(\eta^1 + \eta^2 + \eta^3) = 1 - \eta^1 \eta^2 - \eta^1 \eta^3 - \eta^2 \eta^3 \quad (3.34)$$

$$\sin(\eta^1 + \eta^2 + \eta^3) = \eta^1 + \eta^2 + \eta^3 - \eta^1 \eta^2 \eta^3 \quad (3.35)$$

Here $\Theta(\cos(\eta^1 + \eta^2 + \eta^3)) = -\sin(\eta^1 + \eta^2 + \eta^3)$.

d = 4:

$$\cos(\eta^1 + \eta^2 + \eta^3 + \eta^4) = 1 - \eta^1 \eta^2 - \eta^1 \eta^3 - \eta^1 \eta^4 - \eta^2 \eta^3 \quad (3.36)$$

$$- \eta^2 \eta^4 - \eta^3 \eta^4 + \eta^1 \eta^2 \eta^3 \eta^4$$

$$\sin(\eta^1 + \eta^2 + \eta^3 + \eta^4) = \eta^1 + \eta^2 + \eta^3 + \eta^4 - \eta^1 \eta^2 \eta^3 - \eta^1 \eta^2 \eta^4 \quad (3.37)$$

$$- \eta^1 \eta^3 \eta^4 - \eta^2 \eta^3 \eta^4$$

In this case $\cos(\eta^1 + \eta^2 + \eta^3 + \eta^4)$ is selfdual and $\sin(\eta^1 + \eta^2 + \eta^3 + \eta^4)$ is antiselfdual.

(c) Distinguished η -functions

It turns out that η -functions be related to combinatorics and graph theory. Here we want to describe a family of η functions yielding the normal and ordered Bell numbers and Stirling numbers of the second kind.

Functions: $\mathcal{T}_n$ and $\mathcal{E}_n$. Let us define the following η -functions

$$\mathcal{T}_n = \mathcal{T}_n(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \eta^i \quad (3.38)$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{E}_n(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n) = \sum_{k=1, I_k}^n \eta^{I_k} \quad (3.39)$$

As we shall see they play important role in describing combinatorial characteristic in terms of η variables. Directly from definitions we have the following relations

$$\mathcal{E}_n = e^{\mathcal{T}_n} - 1 = s(e^{\mathcal{T}_n}) \quad (3.40)$$

Expanding k^{th} power of $\mathcal{E}_n$ we find that numerical coefficients in respective terms of expansion just count the number of functions from m -element set into an k -element set, giving the following formula [20]

$$(\mathcal{E}_n)^k = \sum_{k \leq m \leq n} \sum_{I_m} k! S(m, k) \eta^{I_m}, \quad (3.41)$$

where $S(m, k)$ are Stirling numbers of the second kind. Because the following sum gives the Bell number B_n (so called normal Bell number)

$$B_n = \sum_{m=0}^n S(n, m), \quad n \geq 1, \quad (3.42)$$

from the Eq. (3.41) we get that

$$e^{\mathcal{E}_n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{I_k} B_k \eta^{I_k}, \quad (3.43)$$

It is easy to prove another important relation which involves ordered Bell numbers C_n , namely

$$(1 - \mathcal{E}_n)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{I_k} C_k \eta^{I_k}, \quad (3.44)$$

For convenience of the reader let us recall the values of Bell numbers for $n = 0, 1, \dots, 5$. Namely, $B_n : 1, 1, 2, 5, 15, 52$ and $C_n : 1, 1, 3, 13, 75, 541$, respectively.

(d) Symmetric functions

Conventional symmetric polynomials are ubiquitous in many areas of mathematics and mathematical physics. Specially their relation to the representation theory and theory of invariants makes them to appear in many physical applications (to name a few: Boson-Fermion correspondence, integrable systems, super/string theory, Chern-Simons theory, link invariants and 3-manifolds invariants versus moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces). Here we want to adapt conventional theory to the case of nilpotent commuting η -variables, having in view the applications to multiqubit systems.

We shall call a $F(\vec{\eta})$ the symmetric η -function (symmetric η -polynomial) if

$$F(\eta_{\sigma(1)}, \eta_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, \eta_{\sigma(n)}) = F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n), \quad \sigma \in S_n \quad (3.45)$$

Then we can consider the elementary symmetric η -polynomials $e_k = e_k(\vec{\eta})$

$$e_0 = 1 \quad (3.46)$$

$$e_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i = \mathcal{I}_n \quad (3.47)$$

$$e_2 = \sum_{i<j}^n \eta_i \eta_j \quad (3.48)$$

$$\dots \quad (3.49)$$

$$e_n = \eta_1 \eta_2 \dots \eta_n = \mathcal{E}_n \quad (3.50)$$

From above definition and formulas for powers of $F(\vec{\eta})$ we have relations

$$e_1^k = k! e_k, \quad \text{or} \quad e_1 e_{k-1} = k e_k \quad (3.51)$$

moreover $\Theta(e_i) = e_{n-i}$. Hence, for the even n there exists selfdual polynomial $e_{\frac{n}{2}}$. The set of symmetric η -polynomials is naturally $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -graded, by the degree of polynomial. An arbitrary symmetric η -polynomial s_k has expansion $s_k(\vec{\eta}) = \sum_{i, I_i} s_{I_i} \eta_{I_i}$. An s_k is homogenous of degree k if $s_k(\lambda \vec{\eta}) = \lambda^k s_k(\vec{\eta})$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. As in the conventional case we can introduce the analog of Euler operator counting the degree of the homogenous polynomial. Namely,

$$\vec{\eta} \cdot \nabla = \sum_i \eta_i \partial_i, \quad (3.52)$$

where ∂_i denotes derivative with respect to the η_i variable ([5]) (cf. also the next section). In the context of nilpotent quantum mechanics we shall call this mapping - the qubit number operator.

Let us formulate the fundamental theorem of symmetric η -polynomials: the $F(\vec{\eta})$ is symmetric polynomial iff it can be expanded in elementary symmetric polynomials

$$F(\vec{\eta}) = F(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n F_{|k|} e_k = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} F_{|k|} e_1^k \quad (3.53)$$

In analogy to conventional theory we can define the complete symmetric η -polynomials

$$h_k(\vec{\eta}) = \sum_{d_1+d_2+\dots+d_n=k} \eta_1^{d_1} \eta_2^{d_2} \dots \eta_n^{d_n}, \quad (3.54)$$

where $d_i = 0, 1$. Using real parameter t they are generated by the function

$$H_n(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} h_k(\vec{\eta}) t^k = \sum_{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n} \eta_1^{d_1} \eta_2^{d_2} \dots \eta_n^{d_n} t^{d_1+d_2+\dots+d_n} = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - t \eta_i)} \quad (3.55)$$

Analogously, generating function of elementary symmetric η -polynomial $e_k(\vec{\eta})$ is given as

$$E_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n e_k(\vec{\eta}) t^k = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} e_1^k(\vec{\eta}) t^k = \exp t(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \dots + \eta_n) = \prod_{k=1}^n e^{t \eta_k} \quad (3.56)$$

Therefore

$$E_n(-t) H_n(t) = 1. \quad (3.57)$$

Hence, for $m \geq 1$

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^i e_k h_{m-i} = 0 \quad (3.58)$$

As in conventional case one can write above relations as vanishing determinants.

Examples:

- $m=1$. $e_0 h_1 - e_1 h_0 = 0$
- $m=2$.

$$h_2 = e_1^2 - e_2 = \begin{vmatrix} e_1 & e_2 \\ 1 & e_1 \end{vmatrix}$$

and hence $2e_2 = e_1^2$

For arbitrary k , the identities for the e_k now read as

$$\begin{vmatrix} e_1 & e_2 & \dots & e_{k-1} & e_k \\ 1 & e_1 & \dots & \dots & e_{k-1} \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & \dots & e_{k-2} \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 1 & e_1 \end{vmatrix} \quad (3.59)$$

Finally let us observe, that the notion of antisymmetric η -polynomial $a_n(\eta_{\sigma(1)}, \eta_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, \eta_{\sigma(n)}) = (-1)^{|\sigma|} a_n(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)$ is almost trivial. Namely, the Vandermonde determinant $\Delta(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\eta_i - \eta_j)$ for nilpotent commuting variables can be different from zero only for $n = 2$.

(e) η -calculus

η -derivative. The η -derivative in form we need in the present approach was presented in Ref.[5]. To fix the notation let us recall its main properties. Namely, let

$$\partial_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta^j} \quad (3.60)$$

then

$$\partial_i \eta^j = \delta_i^j, \quad \partial_i 1 = 0, \quad \partial_i \partial_j = \partial_j \partial_i \quad (3.61)$$

Instead of the Leibniz rule for $F(\vec{\eta}), G(\vec{\eta}) \in F[\vec{\eta}]$ we have the following relation (para-Leibniz rule [6])

$$\partial_i (F \cdot G) = \partial_i F \cdot G + G \cdot \partial_i F - 2\eta_i \partial_i F \partial_i G \quad (3.62)$$

For further details cf. Ref. [5, 9].

η -integration. The η -integral is defined by the following contractions on basics variables

$$\int \eta^i d\eta_j = \delta_j^i, \quad \int d\eta_i = 0 \quad (3.63)$$

and by linearity is extended to the $F_0[\vec{\eta}]$. Despite the fact that definition is exactly the same as for the Berezin integral, the properties of the multiplication in the algebra

$\mathcal{N}$ make that in this formalism the integration by part formula has different form. Namely,

$$\left(\int F d\eta \right) \left(\int G d\eta \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int (\partial F) \cdot G d\eta + \int F \cdot (\partial G) d\eta \right). \quad (3.64)$$

Detailed properties of this integral are given in Ref.[5]. Below we recall Gaussian integral (firstly considered by Palumbo [12]) which we shall use to define scalar product in $F_0[\vec{\eta}]$. Then we introduce the η -Fourier transform. Finally we show the form of generating η -functions for Stirling numbers of second kind and the η -integral form of the triangular recurrence relation for $S(n, k)$. Moreover we give η -integral expression for both types of Bell numbers. Because in the definition of the η -Hermite polynomials we make use of the notion of η -derivative, therefore we introduce it in this paragraph.

Gaussian η -integral. Let B be a $n \times n$ symmetric matrix then

$$\int e^{\eta B \eta'} d\vec{\eta} d\vec{\eta}' = \text{per}(B), \quad (3.65)$$

where $\text{per}(B)$ is the permanent of the square matrix B (cf. Appendix A) and $d\vec{\eta} = d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \dots d\eta_n$

η -Fourier transformation. Let us define η -exponent as follows

$$e^{<\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}>} = \sum_k \frac{1}{k!} <\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}>^k, \quad <\xi, \eta> = \xi^i \eta_i, \quad (\xi^i)^2 = (\eta_i)^2 = 0. \quad (3.66)$$

A Fourier η -transform of a function $g(\vec{\eta}) \in F[\vec{\eta}]$ we shall call the function $\hat{g}(\vec{\xi}) \in F[\vec{\xi}]$ such that

$$(\mathcal{F}g)(\vec{\xi}) = \hat{g}(\vec{\xi}) = \int e^{<\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}>} g(\vec{\eta}) d\vec{\eta}. \quad (3.67)$$

Then the inverse Fourier η -transform is given in the following form

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} f(\vec{\eta}) = \int e^{-<\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}>} f(\vec{\xi}) d\vec{\xi} \quad (3.68)$$

Such defined Fourier η -transform has properties similar to the Fourier transform considered in superanalysis. For example

$$\partial_{\xi^i} (\mathcal{F}g)(\vec{\xi}) = \mathcal{F}(\vec{\eta}_i g)(\vec{\xi}) \quad (3.69)$$

$$\mathcal{F}(f(\eta - \eta_a))(\xi) = e^{<\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}_a>} (\mathcal{F}f)(\vec{\xi}) \quad (3.70)$$

$$(\mathcal{F}f)(\xi + \xi_a) = \mathcal{F}(e^{<\vec{\xi}_a, \vec{\eta}>} f)(\vec{\xi}) \quad (3.71)$$

There is also interesting property of Fourier η -transform obtained when we want to transform the η -coordinates. Let A be invertible $n \times n$ matrix with entries from $\mathcal{N}$ then realizing permutation and scaling transformation

$$\mathcal{F}(A\vec{\eta})(\vec{\xi}) = \mathcal{F}f(A^{-1T}\vec{\xi}) \cdot \text{per}(A), \quad (3.72)$$

In opposite to the case of the Grassmannian Fourier transform, we do not obtain here the determinant but permanent. This is characteristic property of η -calculus. In particular, for $n = 2, 4$ we have that

$$\mathcal{F}(A\vec{\eta})(\vec{\xi}) = \mathcal{F}f(A^{-1T}\vec{\xi}) \cdot Hf^2(A), \quad (3.73)$$

(cf. Appendix A.).

η -integral form of the Stirling numbers. Using directly the expansion of $\mathcal{E}_n$ we can represent the Stirling numbers of the second kind by the following η -integral

$$S(n, k) = \frac{1}{k!} \int (\mathcal{E}_n)^k d\vec{\eta}_n \quad (3.74)$$

It is easy to see that triangular recurrence relation for $S(n, k)$

$$S(n, k) = k S(n-1, k) + S(n-1, k-1) \quad (3.75)$$

can be expressed by means the following compact η -integral relation

$$\int (\mathcal{E}_n)^k d\vec{\eta}_n = k \int (\mathcal{E}_{n-1})^{k-1} e^{\mathcal{T}_{n-1}} d\vec{\eta}_{n-1} \quad (3.76)$$

η -integral form of the Bell numbers. Such form of the normal Bell numbers is direct consequence of the expansion (3.43) and was firstly given in [21]

$$B_n = \int e^{\mathcal{E}_n} d\vec{\eta}_n \quad (3.77)$$

Here we obtain new formula for ordered Bell numbers. Using (3.44) and relation

$$2 - e^{\mathcal{T}_n} = 1 - \mathcal{E}_n \quad (3.78)$$

we get

$$C_n = \int (2 - e^{\mathcal{T}_n})^{-1} d\vec{\eta}_n \quad (3.79)$$

The last formula resembles relevant conventional representation of the Bell numbers.

η -Hermite polynomials. Let $\ll \vec{\eta}, \vec{\eta} \gg = \frac{1}{2} e_1^2(\vec{\eta})$. By the I_k -th η -Hermite polynomial we shall understand function of the form

$$H_{I_k} = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n) = (-1)^k e^{\ll \vec{\eta}, \vec{\eta} \gg} \partial_{I_k} e^{-\ll \vec{\eta}, \vec{\eta} \gg}, \quad (3.80)$$

where the multi-index I_k is fixed. Now, the k -th degree η -Hermite polynomial is

$$H_{|k|} = \sum_{I_k} H_{I_k}, \quad (3.81)$$

where the length k of the multi-indices I_k is fixed. $H_{|k|}$ are symmetric polynomials e.g. for $n = 2$: $H_{|0|} = 1$, $H_{|1|} = e_1$, $H_{|2|} = -e_0 - e_1$; for $n = 3$: $H_{|0|} = 1$, $H_{|1|} = 2e_1 + 6e_3$, $H_{|2|} = -3e_0 - 3e_2$, $H_{|3|} = 0$.

4. Qubit systems in $\mathcal{N}$ -Hilbert space

To describe qubit and many-qubit states let us use the structure of bimodule over $\mathcal{N}$ algebra. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be such $\mathcal{N}$ -module. We equip it with the $\mathcal{N}$ -scalar product i.e. $\mathcal{N}$ -sesquilinear mapping

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathcal{N} \quad (4.1)$$

such that for $F, G \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\langle \nu F, G \rangle = \langle F, \nu G \rangle, \quad \nu \in \mathcal{N} \quad (4.2)$$

$$\langle F, G \rangle = 0 \quad \forall G \in \mathcal{H} \Rightarrow F = 0 \quad (4.3)$$

$$b(\langle F, G \rangle)^* = b(\langle G, F \rangle) \quad (4.4)$$

$$b(\langle F, F \rangle) \geq 0, \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{H} \quad (4.5)$$

$$(4.6)$$

We shall call such module with $\mathcal{N}$ -scalar product the $\mathcal{N}$ -Hilbert space. Vectors F with $b(\langle F, F \rangle) \neq 0$ we shall call physical. Particular realization of such $\mathcal{N}$ -module is given by the function space $F[\vec{\eta}_n]$. In this module we introduce $\mathcal{N}$ -valued weakly non-degenerated scalar product in the following form

$$\langle F, G \rangle_{\mathcal{N}} = \int F^*(\vec{\eta}) G(\vec{\eta}) e^{\langle \vec{\eta}^*, \vec{\eta} \rangle} d\vec{\eta}^* d\vec{\eta}, = \int F^*(\vec{\eta}) G(\vec{\eta}) d\mu(\vec{\eta}^*, \vec{\eta}) \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$F^*(\vec{\eta}) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{I_k} F_{I_k}^* \eta^{I_k^*} \quad (4.8)$$

and $\star$ denotes complex conjugation. For $\mathcal{F}_0[\vec{\eta}_n]$ obviously F_{I_k} are complex numbers. The first order nilpotents η^{i*} are algebraically independent from η^i . In components we have

$$\langle F, G \rangle_{\mathcal{N}} = \sum_{k=0} \sum_{I_k} F_{I_k}^* G_{I_k} \quad (4.9)$$

To see how 1-qubit algebra is realized in this formalism let us take $\mathcal{F}[\eta]$ (set of η -functions of one variable). In particular, η -scalar product of $F(\eta)$ and $G(\eta)$ functions takes simple form

$$\langle F, G \rangle_{\mathcal{N}} = F_0^* G_0 + F_1^* G_1 \quad (4.10)$$

and in this space realization of the qubit algebra is given in the following form

$$d^+ = \eta \cdot, \quad d = \partial/\partial\eta \quad (4.11)$$

i.e. operators d^+ and d are conjugated with respect to the scalar product given by (4.9) moreover

$$\sigma_3 = 1 - 2\eta\partial_\eta \quad (4.12)$$

is self-conjugated and $[d, d^+]_- = \sigma_3$. Hence, we obtain natural realization of the canonical qubit relations Eq.(2.5-2.8), with $N = \eta\partial_\eta$ and $[N, d]_- = d$, $[N, d^+]_- = -d^+$.

Despite the scalar product we can define in the n -qubit space another weakly non-degenerate form, symmetry of which depends on the parity of n . Namely, the gradation mapping J allows to consider the natural orthogonal projections

$$\pi_\pm F(\vec{\eta}) = \frac{1}{2}(F(\vec{\eta}) \pm J(F(\vec{\eta}))) \quad (4.13)$$

on the even F_+ and odd F_- part of the function F . Using the mapping J we can define the following linear weakly non-degenerate form

$$\omega_n(F, G) = \int J(F(\vec{\eta})) \cdot G(\vec{\eta}) d\eta_1 \dots d\eta_n = \sum_{k, I_k} (-1)^k F_{I_k} G_{I_{n-k}}, \text{ where } I_k \cup I_{n-k} = I_n \quad (4.14)$$

The ω_n , n is symmetric or antisymmetric, depending on the parity of n

$$\omega_n(F, G) = (-1)^n \omega_n(G, F) \quad (4.15)$$

Let us note here that it is the η -version of the form obtained in the tensor product of $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ from the antisymmetric form ϵ in the $\mathbb{C}^2$. Explicitly, we have

n=1:

$$\omega_1(F, G) = F_0 G_1 - F_1 G_0 \quad (4.16)$$

n=2:

$$\omega_2(F, G) = F_0 G_{12} + F_{12} G_0 - F_1 G_2 - F_2 G_1 \quad (4.17)$$

In particular for basis $\{1, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_1 \eta_2\}$ we get

$$\omega_2(1, \eta_1 \eta_2) = 1, \quad \omega_2(\eta_1, \eta_2) = 1 \quad (4.18)$$

and in this case we have exactly the form considered by Wallach [22]

n=3:

$$\omega_3(F, G) = F_0 G_{123} + F_{123} G_0 + F_{23} G_1 - F_1 G_{23} + F_{13} G_2 - F_2 G_{13} + F_{12} G_3 - F_3 G_{12} \quad (4.19)$$

Counterpart of the above symplectic form was discussed by Meyer and Wallach [23], where identification of components (x_i, y_j) $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ used there and components of the η -function is the following: $x_1 = F_0, x_2 = F_{23}, x_3 = F_{13}, x_4 = F_{12}, y_1 = F_{123}, y_2 = F_1, y_3 = F_2, y_4 = F_3$.

In the space of η -functions we can introduce natural family of projectors related to the decomposition of the $F(\vec{\eta})$ into the part depending on the fixed η_k and independent of it, $F(\vec{\eta}) = F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \hat{\eta}_k, \dots, \eta_n) + \eta_k \bar{F}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \hat{\eta}_k, \dots, \eta_n)$, where hat indicates skipped variable. Natural realization of it is given by η -derivative operator, due to the identity

$$\partial_k \eta_k + \eta_k \partial_k = 1 \quad (4.20)$$

we can introduce projectors

$$\pi_{k|0} = \partial_k \eta_k \cdot \quad (4.21)$$

$$\pi_{k|1} = \eta_k \partial_k \cdot \quad (4.22)$$

For a fixed k they are orthogonal and for different indices k they commute

$$\pi_{k|i} \pi_{k|j} = \delta_{ij} \pi_{k|j}, \quad \pi_{k|0} \oplus \pi_{k|1} = id \quad (4.23)$$

$$\pi_{k|i} \pi_{l|j} = \pi_{l|j} \pi_{k|i}, \quad k \neq l \quad (4.24)$$

For example the decomposition of the $F(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ has the following form

$$\begin{aligned} F(\eta_1, \eta_2) &= F_0 + F_2\eta_2 + \eta_1(F_1 + F_{12}\eta_2) \equiv F(\eta_2) + \eta_1\tilde{F}(\eta_2) \\ &= \pi_{1|0}F(\eta_1, \eta_2) + \pi_{1|1}F(\eta_1, \eta_2) \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} F(\eta_1, \eta_2) &= F_0 + F_1\eta_1 + \eta_2(F_2 + F_{12}\eta_1) \equiv F(\eta_1) + \eta_2\tilde{F}(\eta_1) \\ &= \pi_{2|0}F(\eta_1, \eta_2) + \pi_{2|1}F(\eta_1, \eta_2) \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

Moreover, we get the full decomposition of the $F(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ using composition of projectors

$$F_0 = \pi_{1|1}\pi_{2|0}F(\eta_1, \eta_2), \quad (4.27)$$

$$F_1\eta_1 = \pi_{1|1}\pi_{2|0}F(\eta_1, \eta_2), \quad (4.28)$$

$$F_{12}\eta_1\eta_2 = \pi_{1|1}\pi_{2|1}F(\eta_1, \eta_2) \quad (4.29)$$

In the naturay way this formula generalizes to the n variables.

For $n = 1$ we can realize the antisymmetric form ω_1 in alternative way by introducing the wedge product of η -functions as

$$F(\eta) \wedge G(\eta) \equiv (F_0G_1 - F_1G_0)\eta, \quad (4.30)$$

then the anti-symmetric form obtained in this way we shall denote $\mathcal{D}_1$

$$\mathcal{D}_1(F, G) \equiv \int F(\eta) \wedge G(\eta) d\eta \quad (4.31)$$

For one η variable $\mathcal{D}_1(F, G) = \omega_1$. It is possible to generalize the $\mathcal{D}_1$ to the $d = 2$ case, in the following sense. The space of η -functions $F(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ is 2^2 -dimensional, so admits antisymmetric form. Because, a general $d = 2$ η -function can be decomposed using one of the factorizations (4.25) or (4.26) then we can define entity $\mathcal{C}_2$, which is counterpart of the concurrence of the 2-qubit states, as

$$\mathcal{C}_2 \equiv \mathcal{D}_1(F(\eta_1), \tilde{F}(\eta_1)) = \mathcal{D}_1(F(\eta_2), \tilde{F}(\eta_2)) = F_0F_{12} - F_1F_2 \quad (4.32)$$

The anti-symmetric form $\mathcal{D}_2$ we define in as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_2 &\equiv \mathcal{D}_1(F(\eta_1), \tilde{G}(\eta_1)) - \mathcal{D}_1(G(\eta_1), \tilde{F}(\eta_1)) \\ &= \mathcal{D}_1(F(\eta_2), \tilde{G}(\eta_2)) - \mathcal{D}_1(G(\eta_2), \tilde{F}(\eta_2)) \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

(a) *Natural bases: monomial, trigonometric*

Having in mind further application to the $n = 2, 3, 4$ qubit pure states entanglement let us discuss particular bases in the $\mathcal{F}[\vec{\eta}_n]$.

(i) *monomial basis*

The simplest basis in the space of η -functions is the monomial one consisting of $\{\eta_{I_k}\}_{k=0}^n$. It correspond to the so called computational basis in conventional notation, widely used in the literature. The binary notation used there for describing the elements

of the tensor product of $\mathbb{C}^2$ is simply related to the η -notation. Namely, translation of the multi-index for system of n qubits with the "binary" entries 0, 1 to the multi-index I_k used in the η -function expansion is obtained by putting ordinal numbers equal the position of 1's appearing in the binary multi-index e.g. $(0, 0, 0, 0) \mapsto 0$, $(1, 0, 0, 0) \mapsto 1$, $(0, 1, 0, 0) \mapsto 2$, $\dots$, $(0, 1, 0, 1) \mapsto (2, 4)$, $\dots$, $(1, 1, 1, 1) \mapsto (1, 2, 3, 4)$ (cf. also Appendix B). Using such notation we obtain for example the following expression for the hyperdeterminant of the hyper-matrix $B = (b_{ijk}), i, j, k = 0, 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Det}(F) \equiv \text{Det}(B) &= (F_0^2 F_{123}^2 + F_3^2 F_{12}^2 + F_2^2 F_{13}^2 + F_1^2 F_{23}^2) + 4(F_0 F_{23} F_{13} F_{12} \\ &+ F_1 F_2 F_3 F_{123}) - 2(F_0 F_3 F_{12} F_{123} + F_0 F_2 F_{13} F_{123} \\ &+ F_0 F_1 F_{23} F_{123} + F_2 F_3 F_{13} F_{12} + F_1 F_3 F_{23} F_{12} \\ &+ F_1 F_2 F_{23} F_{13}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

(ii) *trigonometric basis*

More interesting from the point of view of the entanglement, there are trigonometric bases. For the $n = 1$ such basis is identical with the monomial one $\{1, \eta\}$, but for higher n we obtain nontrivial functions.

n=2: We take trigonometric function (3.32) with arguments $\eta_1 \pm \eta_2$. These functions are orthogonal and when normalized with respect to our $\mathcal{N}$ -scalar product they take the following form

$$h_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos(\eta^1 + \eta^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 - \eta^1 \eta^2) = \psi_{GHZ-}, \quad (4.35)$$

$$h_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos(\eta^1 - \eta^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + \eta^1 \eta^2) = \psi_{GHZ+} \quad (4.36)$$

$$h_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin(\eta^1 + \eta^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\eta^1 + \eta^2) = \psi_{W+}, \quad (4.37)$$

$$h_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin(\eta^1 - \eta^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\eta^1 - \eta^2) = \psi_{W-}, \quad (4.38)$$

One can recognize here the η -realization of the "magic" basis for 2-qubit system. The *cos*-states are GHZ-type and *sin*-states are W-type (Bell states up to the particular phases). According to our definition, above states are physical with respect to the introduced before η -scalar product with "Gaussian measure".

n ≥ 3: Above basis can be generalized to higher n , it contains 2^n elements

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n-1}}} \cos(\eta_1 \pm \eta_2 \pm \eta_3 \cdots \pm \eta_n), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n-1}}} \sin(\eta_1 \pm \eta_2 \pm \eta_3 \cdots \pm \eta_n) \right\} \quad (4.39)$$

Functions entering above basis are normalized.

(b) *η -kernels*

We can realize the action of an operator in $\mathcal{N}$ -Hilbert spaces of η -functions using "kernels" with respect to the η -integral.

$$(AF)(\vec{\eta}) = \int A(\vec{\eta}, \vec{\eta}') F(\vec{\eta}') d\vec{\eta}' \quad (4.40)$$

Taking expansion of the kernel in the following general form

$$A(\vec{\eta}, \vec{\eta}') = \sum_{\substack{k, I_k \\ l, J_l}} A_{I_k | J_l} \eta^{I_k} \eta'^{J_l} \quad (4.41)$$

we obtain the following explicit action on components of the function F

$$(AF)_{I_k} = \sum_{l, J_l} A_{I_k | J_l} F_{J_{n-l}}, \quad (4.42)$$

where J_{n-l} is complementary strictly ordered multi-index i.e. $J_l \cup J_{n-l} = J_n$. In the fundamental $d = 1$ case we have

$$AF(\eta) = (A_{0|0}F_1 + A_{0|1}F_0) + (A_{1|0}F_1 + A_{1|1}F_0)\eta. \quad (4.43)$$

and one can easily obtain realizations of some operators important in Hilbert η -space

$$id = A_{id}(\eta, \eta') = \eta + \eta' = \delta(\eta - \eta') = \delta(\eta' - \eta) \quad (4.44)$$

$$\eta \cdot = A_{d+}(\eta, \eta') = \eta \eta' \quad (4.45)$$

$$\partial_\eta \cdot = A_d(\eta, \eta') = 1 \quad (4.46)$$

$$\partial_\eta \eta \cdot = A_{\pi_0}(\eta, \eta') = \eta' \quad (4.47)$$

$$\eta \partial_\eta \cdot = A_{\pi_1}(\eta, \eta') = \eta \quad (4.48)$$

$$\sigma_3 \cdot = A_{\sigma_3}(\eta, \eta') = \eta' - \eta, \quad \sigma_3 = 1 - 2\eta \partial_\eta \quad (4.49)$$

$$\sigma_1 \cdot = A_{\sigma_1}(\eta, \eta') = e^{\eta' \eta} = \cos(\eta - \eta') = ch(\eta + \eta'), \quad \sigma_1 = \partial_\eta + \eta \quad (4.50)$$

$$\epsilon \cdot = A_\epsilon(\eta, \eta') = e^{-\eta' \eta} = \cos(\eta + \eta') = ch(\eta - \eta'), \quad (4.51)$$

$$\epsilon = -i\sigma_2 = \partial_\eta - \eta,$$

were symbols σ_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ are used because above η operators play the role of σ -matrices in η -realization of the $su(2)$ algebra and π_k , $k = 0, 1$ is the projection on the first and respectively on the second term of the $F(\eta)$ expansion. The Hadamard operator (gate), defined by relations $H1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + \eta)$ and $H\eta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 - \eta)$ has the following η -differential realization

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + \eta + \partial_\eta - 2\eta \partial_\eta) \quad (4.52)$$

and its η -integral kernel is of the form

$$H \cdot = A_H(\eta, \eta') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 - \eta + \eta' + \eta \eta') = ch(\eta' + \eta) + sh(\eta' - \eta) = \cos(\eta' - \eta) + \sin(\eta' - \eta) \quad (4.53)$$

5. Nilpotent quantum mechanics and η -Schrödinger equation

As it is well known from supersymmetric theories, we can realize classically fermions using anticommuting variables and formalism of supermechanics or pseudomechanics. There we have prequantum description of e.g. spin systems, using anticommuting θ -variables and using explicitly supersymmetry or not. The superphase space language, graded Hamiltonians, graded Poisson brackets, graded Heisenberg group, graded special functions etc. appear very useful in classification and analysis of properties of such systems. Then applying

cannonical quantization we obtain quantum description of such systems. With this respect there are two approaches. One procedure yields after quantization the conventional Hilbert space formalism and conventional quantum mechanics. Here symbolically the Grassmann algebra of θ -variables is traded for Clifford algebra of σ -matrices (or γ -matrices in relativistic case). It is so called Casalbuoni/Berezin=Marinov quantization ([24, 25]). But there is also another version of quantization procedure, which preserves the θ -variables. This one is specially effective when we ask about representations of supersymmetry, look for multiplets of states and want to use the Feynman path integral for fermions. It can be symbolically named the super-Schrödinger quantization, because we use there superwavefunctions $\psi(x, \theta)$ and generalized Schrödinger equation, involving superderivatives.

In description of qubits we want to develop analogous approach to the super-Schrödinger one. Some elements of it are already known in literature. On the one hand, in the papers by Mandiliara at al. [10, 11] there was already used equation which can be named η -Schrödinger equation in the logarithmic form. It was written for a restricted set of functions of nilpotent commuting variables - nilpotentials, and used to address, via system control methods, some questions of the entanglement. On the other hand there exists classical theory based on nilpotent commuting variables introduced in [5] which provides configuration and phase space description of nilpotent systems. It is called nilpotent classical mechanics. Moreover another essential aspect of such theory i.e. path integral formalism, was discussed some time ago by Palumbo at al. [12, 13, 14]. Let us note that in all this approaches, except the nilpotent classical mechanics there was neglected the fact, that the derivative with respect to the nilpotent commuting variables do not satisfy the Leibniz rule, what makes the whole construction nontrivial. So, it is natural to consider the nilpotent quantum mechanics as formalism which is related by a " η -canonical quantization" to the classical nilpotent mechanics. Because known by now η -Poisson brackets do not satisfy the Jacobi identity, the term " η -canonical quantization" leaves some open questions, but the formalism of nilpotent quantum mechanics itself is consistent and effective. We shall use here the restricted η -Schrödinger quantization in the following sense. To quantize classical nilpotent system, we take a classical observable in the normal ordered form i.e. momentum variables are to the right of the coordinate variables and realize position and momentum as operators

$$\eta_k \longrightarrow \hat{\eta} = \eta_k \cdot, \quad p \longrightarrow \hat{p}_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_k} \quad (5.1)$$

in the $\mathcal{N}$ -Hilbert space of η -functions depending on $\eta_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{F}[x, \vec{\eta}]$

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \psi(x, \vec{\eta}, t) = \hat{H} \psi(x, \vec{\eta}, t), \quad (5.2)$$

where $\hat{H}$ is quantized Hamiltonian $H(x, p_x, \eta, p_\eta, t)$ of the system. For the two level systems it is typical to consider explicit time dependence of the Hamiltonian. For example in the $n = 1$ case the Hamilton function is singular in its nilpotent part in the sense that it contains terms linear in p_η i.e. $H = \frac{1}{2m} p_x^2 + b(t)p_\eta + c(t)\eta p_\eta + V(x, \eta, t)$. After quantization we can write this Hamiltonian in the convenient form $\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2m} \hat{p}_x^2 + V(x) + \vec{B}(t) \cdot \vec{\sigma}$, where nilpotent part can be written as

$$\hat{H}_{nilp} = (B_x(t) + iB_y(t))\eta + (B_x(t) - iB_y(t))\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} - 2B_z(t)\eta\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} + B_z. \quad (5.3)$$

In the present paper we restrict ourselves and analyze the properties of the nilpotent part alone, putting aside the question of the simultaneous x coordinate dependence. We will assume as well the global factorization of time dependence of the η - wavefunction $\psi(\vec{\eta}, t)$ and study the stationary η -Schrödinger equation for nilpotent quantum system.

$$\hat{H}\psi(\vec{\eta}) = \lambda\psi(\vec{\eta}) \quad (5.4)$$

The structure of eigenstates for multiqubit systems turns out to be nontrivial, when one addresses the question of entanglement.

Let us compare considered above the η -Schrödinger equation with the one studied by Mandiliara et al [10]. In the latter one, the authors restrict to the case when η - wave function has invertible values in the algebra $\mathcal{N}$ (we describe situation using formalism developed in the present work) i.e. $\psi(\vec{\eta}) = \psi_0 + \psi_i\eta_i + \dots$ and $\psi_0 \neq 0$ therefore one can take function $\tilde{\psi}(\vec{\eta}) = \frac{1}{\psi_0}\psi(\vec{\eta})$ and there exists its logarithm $f(\vec{\eta}) = \ln\tilde{\psi}$. Now, because

$$i\frac{d}{dt}f(\vec{\eta}) = i\frac{d}{dt}\ln\tilde{\psi}(\vec{\eta}) = i\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\vec{\eta})H\tilde{\psi}(\vec{\eta}) \quad (5.5)$$

and $\tilde{\psi}(\vec{\eta}) = e^{f(\vec{\eta})}$ we get

$$i\frac{d}{dt}f(\vec{\eta}) = e^{-f(\vec{\eta})}He^{f(\vec{\eta})}, \quad (5.6)$$

what is the form of the equation employed in [10]. But let us note once again, there many other states that have η - wave functions with non-invertible values (like Werner -like states) and for them such equation is not valid, but η -Schrödinger equation (5.2) can be used without problem.

6. Two component nilpotent systems

Let us consider well known generic Hamiltonian for the two, two level quantum systems. In terms of σ - matrices it is given by

$$\hat{H}_{c_1c_2c_3} = c_1\sigma^x \otimes \sigma^x + c_2\sigma^y \otimes \sigma^y + c_3\sigma^z \otimes \sigma^z, \quad (6.1)$$

where c_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ are numerical parameters. In the η -Schrödinger representation it can be written in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} &= (c_1 - c_2)(d^+ \otimes d^+ + d \otimes d) + (c_1 + c_2)(d^+ \otimes d + d \otimes d^+) \\ &- 2c_3(d^+d \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d^+d) + 4c_3(d^+d \otimes d^+d + \frac{1}{4}), \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

where as before $d^+ = \eta \cdot$ and $d = \partial_\eta$. There are several special choices of the values of the parameters discussed in the literature:

1. Ising coupling: $c = c_1 \neq 0$, $c_2 = c_3 = 0$

$$\hat{H}_{Is} = c(d^+ \otimes d^+ + d \otimes d) + c(d^+ \otimes d + d \otimes d^+) \quad (6.3)$$

It is interesting to note, that classical Hamiltonian for this system is composed of η -harmonic oscillator and additional angular momentum like term i.e. $H = c(p_1p_2 + \eta_1\eta_2 + \eta_1p_2 + \eta_2p_1)$

2. XY coupling: $c = c_1 = c_2 \neq 0, c_3 = 0$

$$\hat{H}_{XY} = 2c(d^+ \otimes d + d \otimes d^+) \quad (6.4)$$

Here the η -harmonic oscillator part is not present

3. Heisenberg (spin system) type: $c = c_1 = c_2, c_3 \neq 0$

$$\hat{H}_{He} = 2c(d^+ \otimes d + d \otimes d^+) - 2c_3(d^+ d^+ \otimes 1 + d \otimes d^+ d) + 4c_3(d^+ d \otimes d^+ d + \frac{1}{4}) \quad (6.5)$$

Here there it is a subcase with $c = 0$.

4. Q -invariant type: $c = c_1 = -c_2$

$$\hat{H}_Q = 2c(d^+ \otimes d^+ + d \otimes d) - 2c_3(d^+ d^+ \otimes 1 + d \otimes d^+ d) + 4c_3(d^+ d \otimes d^+ d + \frac{1}{4}) \quad (6.6)$$

Before solving the η -eigenfunction problem, let us observe that for the $\hat{H}_{c_1 c_2 c_3}$ family of Hamiltonians there exist conserved charges. One is related to the duality transformation Θ . For the two-qubit system the Θ is implemented by operator

$$\Theta^{(2)} = d \otimes d + d^+ \otimes d + d \otimes d^+ + d^+ \otimes d^+. \quad (6.7)$$

We have that

$$[\Theta^{(2)}, \hat{H}_{c_1 c_2 c_3}]_- = 0, \quad \forall c_1, c_2, c_3 \quad (6.8)$$

Let us define two charges

$$Q = d \otimes d^+ \quad (6.9)$$

$$Q^+ = d^+ \otimes d \quad (6.10)$$

The Q and Q^+ are conserved only for the $\hat{H}_{c_1 c_2 c_3}$ with $c_1 + c_2 = 0$.

Let us now study in the η -Schrödinger formalism, the structure of the eigenstates of this composite system. The $\hat{H}_{c_1 c_2 c_3}$ Hamiltonian has explicitly the following realization in $\mathcal{F}_0(\vec{\eta})$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_{c_1 c_2 c_3} &= ((c_1 + c_2)\eta_2 - 2c_3\eta_1)\partial_1 + ((c_1 + c_2)\eta_1 - 2c_3\eta_2)\partial_2 \\ &+ (c_1 - c_2)\eta_1\eta_2 + ((c_1 - c_2) + 4c_3\eta_1\eta_2)\partial_1\partial_2 + c_3 \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

For the eigenproblem

$$\hat{H}_{c_1 c_2 c_3}\psi(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \lambda\psi(\eta_1, \eta_2) \quad (6.12)$$

the set of solutions is shown in the Table 2. For $c_1 - c_2 = 0$ there is degeneration with $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ and analogously $\lambda_3 = \lambda_4$ for $c_1 + c_2 = 0$. Because the duality transformation commutes with the Hamiltonian the eigenvectors have to be selfdual or antiselfdual i.e $\Theta(\psi_1) = \psi_1$, $\Theta(\psi_2) = -\psi_1$, $\Theta(\psi_3) = -\psi_3$ and $\Theta(\psi_4) = \psi_4$. A nontrivial action of duality transformation is in eigenspaces with degenerate λ .

Besides the considered above qubit-qubit system, we shall discuss the system with supersymmetry which is composed of qubit and fermion (Q-F) in analogy to the standard case of boson-fermion (B-F) system. In addition we consider below the boson-qubit (B-Q) and fermion-fermion (F-F) systems for which at least one part of the composed system is nilpotent. In these cases one can add to the conventional SUSY-like Hamiltonian the Förster term, which gives exchange interaction and is characteristic for the two level systems [26], but breaks the SUSY.

Table 2. Eigensystem for $n = 2$ ($\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_{12}$ are arbitrary).

$\lambda_1 = c_1 - c_2 + c_3$	$\Psi_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + \eta_1 \eta_2)$	$c_1 - c_2 \neq 0$
	$\tilde{\Psi}_1 = \psi_0 + \psi_{12} \eta_1 \eta_2$	$c_1 - c_2 = 0$
$\lambda_2 = -(c_1 - c_2) + c_3$	$\Psi_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 - \eta_1 \eta_2)$	$c_1 - c_2 \neq 0$
	$\tilde{\Psi}_2 = \psi_0 + \psi_{12} \eta_1 \eta_2$	$c_1 - c_2 = 0$
$\lambda_3 = -(c_1 + c_2) - c_3$	$\Psi_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\eta_1 - \eta_2)$	$c_1 + c_2 \neq 0$
	$\tilde{\Psi}_3 = \psi_1 \eta_1 + \psi_2 \eta_2$	$c_1 + c_2 = 0$
$\lambda_4 = c_1 + c_2 - c_3$	$\Psi_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\eta_1 + \eta_2)$	$c_1 + c_2 \neq 0$
	$\tilde{\Psi}_4 = \psi_1 \eta_1 + \psi_2 \eta_2$	$c_1 + c_2 = 0$

(a) boson-fermion

To fix the notation let us recall the well known SUSY system of quantum bosonic and fermionic oscillators. Hamiltonian of such a composed system has simple form

$$H^{(0)} = \omega (1 \otimes f^+ f + b^+ b \otimes 1) = \omega \left(1 \otimes (f^+ f - \frac{1}{2}) + (b^+ b + \frac{1}{2}) \otimes 1 \right) \quad (6.13)$$

It is a special case of more general Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonian which is supersymmetric only for special values of parameters. Namely, for $\omega = \omega_0$ and $\kappa = 0$

$$H_{JC} = \omega (b^+ b - \frac{1}{2}) \otimes 1 + \omega_0 1 \otimes (f^+ f - \frac{1}{2}) + \kappa H^{(F)}, \quad (6.14)$$

where $H^{(F)}$ is the Förster Hamiltonian [26] of the form

$$H^{(F)} = b^+ \otimes f + b \otimes f^+ \quad (6.15)$$

To have consistent grading the $H^{(F)}$ and κ parameter are odd entities. Let us write explicitly SUSY algebra for the Jaynes-Cummings system. The supercharges are defined as

$$Q_{BF} = i\sqrt{\omega} b \otimes f^+, \quad Q_{BF}^+ = -i\sqrt{\omega} b^+ \otimes f, \quad (6.16)$$

and hamiltonian has the form

$$H_{BF}^{(F)} = Q_{BF}^+ + Q_{BF}, \quad H_{BF} = H_{BF}^{(0)} + \kappa H_{BF}^{(F)} \quad (6.17)$$

Graded commutation relations now read as

$$[Q_{BF}^+, Q_{BF}]_+ = H_{BF}^{(0)}, \quad (6.18)$$

$$[H_{BF}, Q_{BF}^+]_- = \kappa H_{BF}^{(0)}, \quad [H_{BF}, Q_{BF}]_- = \kappa H_{BF}^{(0)} \quad (6.19)$$

(b) qubit-fermion

For this system composed of qubit and fermion we have the odd supercharges as in the conventional boson-fermion case

$$Q_{QF} = i\sqrt{\omega} d \otimes f^+, \quad Q_{QF}^+ = -i\sqrt{\omega} d^+ \otimes f \quad (6.20)$$

Graded commutation relations now read as

$$[Q_{QF}^+, Q_{QF}]_+ = \omega (d^+ d \otimes 1 + (1 - 2N_d) \otimes f^+ f) = H_{QF}^{(0)} \quad (6.21)$$

$$H_{QF}^{(F)} = Q_{QF}^+ + Q_{QF}, \quad H_{QF} = H_{QF}^{(0)} + \kappa H_{QF}^{(F)} \quad (6.22)$$

$$[H_{QF}, Q_{QF}]_- = \kappa H_{QF}^{(0)}, \quad [H_{QF}, Q_{QF}^+]_- = \kappa H_{QF}^{(0)} \quad (6.23)$$

This system is very interesting because both of its parts are two level systems and we still have nontrivial supersymmetry transformations with graded algebra of charges (for $H_{QF}^{(F)} = 0$).

The Hamiltonian $H_{QF}^{(0)}$ in Schrödinger representation takes the form

$$\hat{H}_{QF}^{(0)} = \omega(\eta \partial_\eta + \theta \partial_\theta - 2\eta \theta \partial_\eta \partial_\theta) \quad (6.24)$$

Solving generalized stationary η -Schrödinger equation $\hat{H}_{QF}^{(0)} F(\eta, \theta) = \lambda F(\eta, \theta)$ we get that there is eigenspace related to the zero energy, and another one to $\lambda = \omega$. So the vacuum is degenerated and invariant under supersymmetry transformations. The subspace with nonzero energy is degenerated, as it should be in supersymmetric system. The non-unique ground state is peculiar. So, we have $\phi_0 = 1$ and $\psi_0 = \eta\theta$ even and odd respectively, ground states (in the sense of the Grassmannian parity) and $\phi_\omega = \eta$, $\psi_\omega = \theta$ even and odd excited states.

$$\hat{H}_{QF}^{(0)} \phi_\omega = \omega \phi_\omega, \quad \hat{H}_{QF}^{(0)} \psi_\omega = \omega \psi_\omega, \quad \hat{H}_{QF}^{(0)} \phi_0 = 0, \quad \hat{H}_{QF}^{(0)} \psi_0 = 0; \quad (6.25)$$

$$\hat{Q} \phi_\omega = \psi_\omega, \quad \hat{Q} \psi_\omega = 0, \quad \hat{Q} \phi_0 = 0, \quad \hat{Q} \psi_0 = 0 \quad (6.26)$$

$$\hat{Q}^+ \phi_\omega = 0, \quad \hat{Q}^+ \psi_\omega = \phi_\omega, \quad \hat{Q}^+ \phi_0 = 0, \quad \hat{Q}^+ \psi_0 = 0 \quad (6.27)$$

Spectrum of the qubit-fermion supersymmetric system can be depicted in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E = \omega & \text{---} \phi_\omega & \begin{array}{c} Q \\ \longleftrightarrow \\ Q^+ \end{array} & \psi_\omega \text{---} \\ E = 0 & \text{---} \phi_0 & \begin{array}{c} d^+ \otimes f^+ \\ \longleftrightarrow \\ d \otimes f \end{array} & \psi_0 \text{---} \end{array}$$

In the above example we use convention that ϕ_i are even and ψ_i are odd, $i = 0, \omega$.

In terms of the supersymmetric quantum mechanics, we have here pair of nontrivial zero modes, and therefore the full even and odd spectra are identical. The analog of Witten index vanishes i.e. $\Delta = n_Q^{(E=0)} - n_F^{(E=0)} = 0$. This might be surprising because, in conventional supersymmetric quantum mechanics even and odd spectra coincide except the zero energy ground state. However this effect is not new and is present in SUSY quantum mechanical models with a periodic potential [27, 28] or with local and nonlocal potentials [29] as well as in the model of spin $\frac{1}{2}$ particle in a rotating magnetic field and constant scalar potential [30]. Here in the qubit-fermion system, the effect is of algebraical origin and comes from the structure of the model, not from the particular properties of the potential.

(c) qubit-qubit

For completeness we rewrite in the same notational convention the Q -invariant two qubit system (considered already above). The Q -charges this time are even, but still nilpotent

$$Q_{QQ} = i\sqrt{\omega} d \otimes d^+, \quad Q_{QQ}^+ = -i\sqrt{\omega} d^+ \otimes d \quad (6.28)$$

and graded commutation relations now read as

$$[Q_{QQ}^+, Q_{QQ}]_+ = \omega (d^+ d \otimes 1 - 2N_d) + (1 - 2N_d) \otimes f^+ f = H_{QF}^{(0)} \quad (6.29)$$

$$H_{QQ}^{(F)} = Q_{QQ}^+ + Q_{QQ}, \quad H_{QQ} = H_{QQ}^{(0)} + \tilde{\kappa} H_{QQ}^{(F)} \quad (6.30)$$

$$[H_{QQ}, Q_{QQ}]_- = \tilde{\kappa} H_{QF}^{(0)}, \quad [H_{QQ}, Q_{QQ}^+]_- = \kappa H_{QF}^{(0)} \quad (6.31)$$

(d) qubit-boson

$$Q_{QB} = i\sqrt{\omega} b \otimes d^+, \quad Q_{QB}^+ = -i\sqrt{\omega} b^+ \otimes d \quad (6.32)$$

and commutation relations now read as

$$[Q_{QB}^+, Q_{QB}]_- = \omega (-b^+ b \otimes (1 - 2N_d) + 1 \otimes d^+ d) = H_{QB}^{(0)} \quad (6.33)$$

$$H_{QB}^{(F)} = Q_{QB}^+ + Q_{QB}, \quad H_{QB} = H_{QB}^{(0)} + \lambda H_{QB}^{(F)} \quad (6.34)$$

For this system $H^{(F)}$ and λ are even entities

$$[H_{QB}, Q_{QB}]_- = -\lambda H_{QB}^{(0)}, \quad [H_{QB}, Q_{QB}^+]_- = \lambda H_{QB}^{(0)} \quad (6.35)$$

7. Separability and entanglement in $\mathcal{N}$ -Hilbert space representation

The commuting nilpotent variables were already used in the description of the entanglement in papers of Mandiliara et al. [10, 11]. Using advanced in the above sections notions of: η -functions, η -differential calculus and the η -formalism of $\mathcal{N}$ -Hilbert spaces we give a general characterization of pure state entanglement in terms of such variables, and we find states considered in the Ref. [10] related to tanglemeeters as a special case.

As we have already seen, natural bases in tensor products of composite system are described by elementary functions, which encode automatically the "combinatorial" content of such non-simple (non-decomposable) tensors. In the approach presented in [10] there are discussed tanglemeeters which are well defined for η -functions with nonvanishing body. In addition we shall discuss the case of the η -function states with trivial body as well. In such a case one can apply the entanglement monotones introduced by Meyer and Wallach [23, 31] and their generalizations [32].

As it is known [33] one can also consider entanglement of fermions, bosons. In the case of fermions there is no Schmidt decomposition, but an analog of Schmidt rank (Slater rank) can be defined to classify entanglement in bipartite fermionic systems [34].

(a) Factorization of η -functions and pure state entanglement

In this section we will show, how questions concerning the entanglement can be answered using the criteria of factorization of η -functions. We will take inspiration from the factorization theory of functions of real variable, but of course proofs of the present theorems come from different reasoning then in conventional case. To begin consider the simple fact of linear independence of functions of one η -variable. This can be interpreted as special case of factorization $aF(\eta) + bG(\eta) = 0 \Rightarrow F(\eta) = -\frac{b}{a}G(\eta)$, $a \neq 0$. Functions $F(\eta)$ and $G(\eta)$ are linearly dependent iff the Wronskian of the following matrix

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} F(\eta) & G(\eta) \\ \partial F(\eta) & \partial G(\eta) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7.1)$$

$w = F_0G_1 - F_1G_0$ vanishes. In particular functions with nonvanishing body, i.e. $F_0 \neq 0$ can be written as $F(\eta) = F_0 e^{\frac{F_1}{F_0}\eta}$.

Let us define the entity $\mathcal{H}$ which will be important in expressing Wronskians for n-qubit systems. Namely,

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{I_k} (-1)^k (\partial_{I_k} F(\vec{\eta}) \partial_{I_{n-k}} F(\vec{\eta})) |_{\vec{\eta}=0} \quad (7.2)$$

where $\partial_{I_0} F(\vec{\eta}) = \partial_{\emptyset} F(\vec{\eta}) = F(\vec{\eta})$. In particular

- for $n = 2$:

$$\mathcal{H} = F_0F_{12} - F_1F_2, \quad (7.3)$$

- for $n = 3$:

$$\mathcal{H} = F_0F_{123} - F_1F_{23} - F_2F_{13} - F_3F_{12}, \quad (7.4)$$

- for $n = 4$: we get the well known Cayley determinant

$$\mathcal{H} = F_0F_{1234} - F_1F_{234} - F_2F_{134} - F_3F_{124} - F_4F_{123} + F_{12}F_{34} + F_{13}F_{24} + F_{14}F_{23} \quad (7.5)$$

We do not distinguish in the notation the $\mathcal{H}$ for variuos values of the n , but it will always be clear from the context which one is under consideration.

(b) Factorization of $F(\eta_1, \eta_2)$

As it is known [35, 36, 37, 16] a sufficiently smooth function of the real variables $f(x, y)$ of the form $f(x, y) = h(x)g(y)$ has to satisfy the d'Alembert condition i.e.

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln f}{\partial x \partial y} = 0. \quad (7.6)$$

This equation can be written in the form

$$\begin{vmatrix} f & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} \end{vmatrix} = 0 \quad (7.7)$$

But the set of solutions of (7.7) is larger then factorizable functions [36, 38] and there are various generalization of above equation for functions of several variables [37, 16].

For the η -functions analog of the relation (7.7) is also more general than that of the d'Alembert equation (7.6) moreover it is also necessary and sufficient condition for factorability of function. In case when $F(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ takes only invertible values, there exists $f((\eta_1, \eta_2)) = \ln F(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ and above conditions are equivalent.

Let w_{12} denotes the following η -Wronskian with respect to η_1 and η_2 variables

$$w_{12}(F(\eta_1, \eta_2)) = \det W_{12} = \begin{vmatrix} F & \frac{\partial F}{\partial \eta_1} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial \eta_2} & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \eta_1 \partial \eta_2} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} F & \partial_1 F \\ \partial_2 F & \partial_{12} F \end{vmatrix} = F_0 F_{12} - F_1 F_2 \quad (7.8)$$

Let $F(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ be arbitrary function, then $w_{12}(F) = 0$ iff $F(\eta_1, \eta_2) = G(\eta_1)\tilde{G}(\eta_2)$, for some G and $\tilde{G}$. (Proof is given in Appendix C). Note that the Wronskian for the function of two η variables has numerical values (i.e. its soul vanishes and there is no explicit η dependence) and $w_{12} = \mathcal{H}(cf. Eq. 7.2)$.

As an example consider the Werner state which is represented by the function

$$\psi_W(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\eta_1 + \eta_2),$$

and we have that $w_{12}(\psi_W) = -\frac{1}{2}$. For GHZ state

$$\psi_{GHZ}(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + \eta_1 \eta_2)$$

we get $w_{12}(\psi_{GHZ}) = \frac{1}{2}$, but now there exists $\ln(\sqrt{2}\psi_{GHZ}) = \eta_1 \eta_2$ and d'Alembert equation also shows that ψ_{GHZ} is not factorable. Generalization of the d'Alembert equation to the system of n qubits was used by [10, 11] as a criterion of bipartite entanglement, and by means of the $\ln F$ there was defined tangle meter, as an additive measure of entanglement.

Let us note, that from the condition of vanishing Wronskian we can distinguish several types of factorization: $e^{\eta_1} e^{\eta_2}$, $\eta_i e^{\eta_i}$, $\eta_i e^{\eta_j}$, where $i \neq j$; $i, j = 1, 2$. On the other hand the non-vanishing Wronskian gives two types of η -functions: GHZ-like ($e^{\pm \eta_1 \eta_2}$, $1 \pm \eta_i e^{\pm \eta_j}$, $e^{\pm \eta_1 \eta_2} \pm e^{\eta_i}$) and W-like ($1 \pm \eta_1 \pm \eta_2$, $\pm \eta_1 \pm \eta_2$). This can be seen from the easy to proof fact that for any function $F(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ there exists factor $f(\eta_1)$ (or $f(\eta_2)$) and function $G(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ such that

$$F(\eta_1, \eta_2) = f(\eta_1)G(\eta_1, \eta_2), \quad (7.9)$$

where $f(\eta_1) = f_0 e^{\frac{f_1}{f_0} \eta_1}$. Taking above η -function phases with respect to both variables and assuming that $f_0 = 1$ and $g_0 = 1$ we get that

$$F(\eta_1, \eta_2) = f(\eta_1)g(\eta_2)G(\eta_1, \eta_2) = e^{f_1 \eta_1 + g_2 \eta_2} G(\eta_1, \eta_2) \quad (7.10)$$

and the $w_{12}(F) = w_{12}(G)$. Hence, both functions have the same factorization properties. For example functions related in this way to ψ_{GHZ} have the following form $F(\eta_1, \eta_2) = 1 + f_1 \eta_1 + g_2 \eta_2 + (f_1 g_2 + 1) \eta_1 \eta_2$ and these related to ψ_W are: $F(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \eta_1 + \eta_2 + (f_1 + g_2) \eta_1 \eta_2$.

(c) Factorization of $F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$

In the case of three variables we have to consider a set of the Wronski matrices, for all distinct pairs of variables. From such a bipartite information one can determine the

level of non/factorability of $F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$. This time the Wronskians depend explicitly on η -variables

$$w_{12}(F)(\eta_3) = w_{12}(F|_{\eta_3=0}) + (\mathcal{H} + 2F_3F_{12})\eta_3 \equiv w_{12}(F|_{\eta_3=0}) + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_3\eta_3 \quad (7.11)$$

$$w_{13}(F)(\eta_2) = w_{13}(F|_{\eta_2=0}) + (\mathcal{H} + 2F_2F_{13})\eta_2 \equiv w_{13}(F|_{\eta_2=0}) + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2\eta_2 \quad (7.12)$$

$$w_{23}(F)(\eta_1) = w_{23}(F|_{\eta_1=0}) + (\mathcal{H} + 2F_1F_{23})\eta_1 \equiv w_{23}(F|_{\eta_1=0}) + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1\eta_1 \quad (7.13)$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ is given by (7.4) according to Eq.(7.2). What is interesting, one can express all terms in the above expansions of η -function determinants in terms of the invariants of Wronski matrices. Namely, we find that the $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k(F)$ can be written in terms of traces

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k(F) = \text{tr} W_{ij}(F|_{\eta_k=0}) \cdot \text{tr} W_{ij}(\partial_k F) - \text{tr} (W_{ij}(F|_{\eta_k=0}) W_{ij}(\partial_k F)) \quad (7.14)$$

Before we state criterion allowing to detect the existence of factorization (separation) of variables let us observe the following

Weak factorization: Let $F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$ be such, that there exist functions $G(\eta_i, \eta_k)$ and $\tilde{G}(\eta_j, \eta_k)$ and $F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3) = G(\eta_i, \eta_k)\tilde{G}(\eta_j, \eta_k)$; $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$, are all different and fixed, then $w_{ij}(F)(\eta_k) = 0$.

However, inverse is not true, for example for the η -function $F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3) = \eta_1\eta_2 + \eta_1\eta_3 + \eta_2\eta_3$, $w_{ij}(F)(\eta_k) = 0$, but there is no weak decomposition $F = (\eta_1, \eta_3)\tilde{G}(\eta_2, \eta_3)$. The non-vanishing Wronskians excludes possibility of factorization.

One can introduce effective criterion selective enough to indicate full factorization of two subsystems $(i - k)(j)$, with true separation of dependence on one variable.

Strong factorization (separability): Let $F = F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$, there exist functions $G(\eta_i, \eta_k)$ and $\tilde{G}(\eta_j)$ such that $F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3) = G(\eta_i, \eta_k)\tilde{G}(\eta_j)$ iff the following conditions are satisfied: $w_{ij}(F)(\eta_k) = 0$, $w_{kj}(F)(\eta_i) = 0$, $w_{ij}(\partial_k F) = 0$, and $w_{kj}(\partial_i F) = 0$ ($i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$, are all different and fixed).

The proofs of above theorem we present in the Appendix D. When there exists the logarithm of the η -function then, condition for separability of variables takes very simple form and such criterion was already proposed in [10]. Namely, for $n = 3$, the dependence on η_j variable is separable iff $\partial_i \partial_j \ln(F) = 0 = \partial_k \partial_j \ln(F)$, $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$. Moreover, as it is easy to see, the vanishing of above derivatives of the $\ln F$ is equivalent to the simple condition: $w_{ik}(F) = 0 = w_{jk}(F)$ e.g. $\partial_1 \partial_3 \ln F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3) = 0 \Leftrightarrow (w_{13}(F|_{\eta_2}) = 0 \text{ and } \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2 = 0)$. In this case, the rest of the conditions present in the strong factorization criterion is fulfilled automatically. Such simple characterization of separability in terms of logarithm generalizes to higher n [10]. However, the set of functions with vanishing body $b(F) = 0$, and hence not having logarithm, is very large and essential. It grows with the value of n . All Werner-like states follow into this type.

From the point of view of the description of physical systems only the strong factorization gives information of the existing true bipartite separation of the systems, but even existence of such a factorization still gives room for an another portion of non-separability inside a two-qubit subsystem, except the totally separable function i.e. $F \simeq G(\eta_1)\tilde{G}(\eta_2) \dots \tilde{G}(\eta_n)$. The decomposition of $F(\vec{\eta})$ into factors is not unique in general.

Let us note that factorization properties of the η -function $F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$ and its dual $\star F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$ are closely related, because

$$w_{ij}(F|_{\eta_k=0}) = w_{ij}(\partial_k(\star F)), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_i(F) = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_i(\star F) \quad (7.15)$$

To illustrate how non/factorization properties of the $F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$ are encoded in values of considered Wronskians let us consider some examples. For separability the normalization of the function is unimportant, but in view of further applications we shall consider normalized η -functions.

Examples:

E1: The Werner state is represented by the η -function $\psi_W = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3)$, it is nonseparable, but it is non-factorable in the weak sense as well. We have

$$w_{ij}(\psi_W|_{\eta_k=0}) = -\frac{1}{3}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_i = 0, \quad (7.16)$$

$$w_{ij}(\partial_k \psi_W) = 0 \quad (7.17)$$

The cluster Werner state is represented by the η -function dual to ψ_W i.e. $\star \psi_W = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\eta_1 \eta_2 + \eta_1 \eta_3 + \eta_2 \eta_3)$, as before it is nonseparable and non-factorable in the weak sense. Namely, according to our observation concerning dual functions,

$$w_{ij}(\star \psi_W|_{\eta_k=0}) = 0, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_i = 0, \quad (7.18)$$

$$w_{ij}(\partial_k \star \psi_W) = -\frac{1}{3} \quad (7.19)$$

However, we should not be surprised to find that $\star \psi_W = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(\psi_W)^2$.

E2: The GHZ state: $\psi_{GHZ} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3)$. This function also is not factorable, even in a weak sense, because

$$w_{ij}(\psi_{GHZ}|_{\eta_k=0}) = 0, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k = \frac{1}{2} \quad (7.20)$$

$$w_{ij}(\partial_k \psi_{GHZ}) = 0, \quad (7.21)$$

Let us note that $\psi_{GHZ} = \star \psi_{GHZ}$, and nontrivial contribution to the Wronskian η -function comes here only from the $\tilde{H}$ i.e. $w_{ij}(\psi_{GHZ}) = \eta_k$.

E3: In above examples functions cannot be expressed as a product of other functions except one factor is trivial, now let us illustrate case when decomposition into product of functions is not the factorization in a weak sense. Namely, let $\psi = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \eta_1 \eta_2)(1 + \eta_1 \eta_3)(1 + \eta_2 \eta_3) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \eta_1 \eta_2 + \eta_1 \eta_3 + \eta_2 \eta_3)$

$$w_{ij}(\psi|_{\eta_k=0}) = \frac{1}{4}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k = 0 \quad (7.22)$$

$$w_{ij}(\partial_k \psi) = -\frac{1}{4} \quad (7.23)$$

and even no weak factorization exists.

E4: When we drop the first factor in above expression and normalize new functions, then $\psi' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(1 + \eta_1\eta_3 + \eta_2\eta_3)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} w_{12}(\psi'|_{\eta_3=0}) &= 0 = w_{13}(\psi'|_{\eta_3=0}), & w_{23}(\psi'|_{\eta_1=0}) &= \frac{1}{3}, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_i &= 0 \\ w_{12}(\partial_3\psi') &= -\frac{1}{3}, & w_{13}(\partial_2\psi') &= 0 = w_{23}(\partial_1\psi'), \end{aligned} \quad (7.24)$$

what here indicates weak factorization in η_1 and η_2 variables. One can express ψ' as the product of two $n = 2$ GHZ states with common η -variable. Namely, $\psi' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(1 + \eta_1\eta_3)(1 + \eta_2\eta_3)$.

E5: The example of the state $\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_1\eta_2\eta_3)$ shows that assumption of the vanishing $w_{ij}(\partial_k\psi)$ is important for the strong factorization. We have

$$\begin{aligned} w_{12}(\psi|_{\eta_3=0}) &= 0 = w_{13}(\psi|_{\eta_3=0}), & w_{23}(\psi|_{\eta_1=0}) &= -\frac{1}{3}, & \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_i &= 0 \\ w_{12}(\partial_3\psi) &= \frac{1}{3} = w_{13}(\partial_2\psi), & w_{23}(\partial_1\psi) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (7.25)$$

Indeed, vanishing $w_{12}(\psi)$ and $w_{13}(\psi)$ indicate presence of weak factorizations: $\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(1 + \eta_1\eta_2)(\eta_2 + \eta_3)$ and $\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(1 + \eta_1\eta_3)(\eta_2 + \eta_3)$ respectively, but $w_{12}(\partial_3\psi) = w_{13}(\partial_2\psi) \neq 0$ show that the true separation of variables is not possible. Here we have product of rigged GHZ and Werner states.

E6: Finally let ψ be a factorable state (but not totally factorable) e. g. $\psi = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \eta_3 + \eta_1\eta_2 + \eta_1\eta_2\eta_3)$

$$w_{12}(\psi|_{\eta_3=0}) = \frac{1}{4}, \quad w_{12}(\partial_3\psi) = \frac{1}{4}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_3 = \frac{1}{2} \quad (7.26)$$

$$w_{13}(\psi|_{\eta_2=0}) = 0, \quad w_{13}(\partial_2\psi) = 0, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2 = 0 \quad (7.27)$$

$$w_{23}(\psi|_{\eta_1=0}) = 0, \quad w_{23}(\partial_1\psi) = 0, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1 = 0 \quad (7.28)$$

In this case $w_{i3}(\psi)$ and $w_{i3}(\partial_j\psi)$ vanish, so dependence on η_3 can be factorized and indeed $\psi \sim (1 + \eta_1\eta_2)(1 + \eta_3)$ is a product of the GHZ-function and e^{η_3} .

Our classification of strong factorization of the functions for the $n = 3$ reveals the already known onion structure in the space of states discussed in [39, 40]. Firstly, we can distinguish three sets B_i of mutually bipartite separable functions with nonempty common part of totally separable functions. One can say that the B_i form a rosette which is surrounded by the set B_W of nonseparable functions of W-type nonseparability (cf. above examples) and of the set B_{GHZ} of nonseparable functions of GHZ-type. It is interesting, that if we count dimensions of our η -function spaces as follows: we take normalized functions; when function is separable we normalize each factor independently, then dimensions of above sets are the following

$$\dim(B_i) = 3 + 1 = 4 \quad (7.29)$$

$$\dim(\cap_i B_i) = 1 + 1 + 1 = 3 \quad (7.30)$$

$$\dim(B_W) = 2^3 - 1 - 1 = 6 \quad (7.31)$$

$$\dim(B_{GHZ}) = 2^3 - 1 = 7 \quad (7.32)$$

where for the B_W we have taken into account that there vanishes body F_0 of the W-type function. These dimensions agree with the results obtained from the invariants theory [40].

(d) *Factorization of $F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4)$*

As before we shall consider Wronski 2×2 matrices to detect the possibility of weak factorization. The determinants $w_{ij}(F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4))$ are functions of two η -variables

$$w_{ij}(F) = w_{ij}(F|_{\eta_k=\eta_l=0}) + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k(F|_{\eta_l=0})\eta_k + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_l(F|_{\eta_k=0})\eta_l + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{kl}(F)\eta_k\eta_l, \quad (7.33)$$

where e.g. $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{34} = \mathcal{H} + 2F_3F_{124} + 2F_4F_{123} - 2F_{13}F_{24} - 2F_{14}F_{23}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ is the Cayley determinant given by Eq. (7.5). We use notation $\pi_i(F) = F|_{\eta_i}$. Guided by the criterion for $n = 3$ we can state the following separability condition for $n = 4$:

Strong factorization of η -functions for $n=4$ (cases (i-j)(k-l) and (i-j-k)(l)):

(i-j)(k-l) When the function $F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4)$ can be decomposed into the product of functions depending on separated pairs of variables $F = G(\eta_{i_1}, \eta_{i_2})\tilde{G}(\eta_{j_1}, \eta_{j_2})$, $I = \{i_1, i_2\}$ and $J = \{j_1, j_2\}$ $I \cup J = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, where $i_1 < i_2$, $j_1 < j_2$ then,

$$w_{i_k j_l}(F) = 0, \quad w_{i_k j_l}(\partial_{i_{k'}} F) = 0, \quad w_{i_k j_l}(\partial_{j_{l'}} F) = 0, \quad w_{i_k j_l}(\partial_{i_{k'}} \partial_{j_{l'}} F) = 0, \quad (7.34)$$

where $i_k \neq i_{k'} \in I$ and $j_l \neq j_{l'} \in J$.

(i-j-k)(l) When a function $F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4)$ has decomposition into the product $F = G(\eta_{i_1}, \eta_{i_2}, \eta_{i_3})\tilde{G}(\eta_j)$ then,

$$w_{i_k j}(F) = 0, \quad w_{i_k j}(\partial_{i_{k'}} F) = 0, \quad w_{i_k j}(\partial_{i_{k''}} F) = 0, \quad w_{i_k j}(\partial_{i_{k'} i_{k''}} F) = 0, \quad (7.35)$$

where $J = \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$, $I = \{j\}$ and as before $I \cup J = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$; $i_k \neq i_{k'} \neq i_{k''}$. The proof is given in the Appendix E. For functions with nonvanishing body there exists logarithm $\ln(1+s(F))$ and in such a case above conditions are equivalent to the statements that either

$$\partial_{i_k} \partial_{j_l} \ln(1+s(F)) = 0, \quad k, l = 1, 2 \quad (7.36)$$

for factorization $(i-j)(k-l)$ or

$$\partial_{i_k} \partial_j \ln(1+s(F)) = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, 3 \quad (7.37)$$

for factorizations $(i-j-k)(l)$. We just get special cases of criterion considered in Ref. [10]. Let us stress that present criterions written directly in terms of Wronskians of η -function F are more general and apply to all η -functions.

While for the $n = 3$ we do not have well defined 3×3 Wronski matrices, in the present case we can introduce nontrivial 4×4 ones in the following way

$$\mathcal{L}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} W_{ij}(F) & W_{ij}(\partial_k F) \\ W_{ij}(\partial_l F) & W_{ij}(\partial_l \partial_k F) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7.38)$$

where (ij) and (lk) are ordered indices, hence $i < j, l < k$. Firstly, let us consider the body of these matrices, which can be written as conventional ones with the complex number's entries, in the following form

$$L_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} W_{ij}(\pi_l \pi_k F) & W_{ij}(\pi_l \partial_k F) \\ W_{ij}(\pi_k \partial_l F) & W_{ij}(\partial_l \partial_k F) \end{pmatrix} \quad (7.39)$$

It turns out that they are related to the known in the literature matrices obtained from the invariants theory, namely using results of Ref.([41]) we get

$$\det L_{12} = N, \quad \det L_{14} = M, \quad \det L_{13}^{PT} = L, \quad (7.40)$$

where L_{13}^{PT} means partial transposition of the matrix L_{13}

$$L_{13}^{PT} = \begin{pmatrix} W_{13}(\pi_2 \pi_4 F) & W_{13}(\pi_4 \partial_2 F) \\ W_{13}(\pi_2 \partial_4 F) & W_{13}(\partial_2 \partial_4 F) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7.41)$$

The presence of partial transposition is important here, because invariants L, M, N satisfy the relation [41]

$$L + N + M = 0 \quad (7.42)$$

Such relation for Wronski matrices (7.38) would be troublesome. As it is easy to see, the η -function valued determinants of $\mathcal{L}_{ij}$ give information about possibility of factorization and we are interested in all matrices $\mathcal{L}_{ij}$, however for the complementary pairs of indices we get the same values of the determinant, so is enough to consider e.g.: L_{12}, L_{13}, L_{14} . While above conditions of separability for $n = 4$ are invertible, we can get much weaker ones using determinants of 4×4 matrices: $\mathcal{L}_{ij}$. It turns out that, a necessary condition for $F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4)$ to be factorable into the product $G(\eta_{i_1}, \eta_{i_2}, \eta_{i_3})\tilde{G}(\eta_j)$ is the following

$$\det \mathcal{L}_{i_k j} = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, 3 \quad (7.43)$$

When F is factorable into a product $F = G(\eta_{i_1}, \eta_{i_2})\tilde{G}(\eta_{j_1}, \eta_{j_2})$ then

$$\det \mathcal{L}_{i_k j_l}^{PT} = 0, \quad k, l = 1, 2. \quad (7.44)$$

Let us note that for this type of separation of variables we take partial transposition of $\mathcal{L}_{ij}$. To illustrate how above criteria differentiate various states let us consider some examples:

E1: For the $n = 4$ GHZ-state $\psi_{GHZ} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3 \eta_4)$ we have

$$w_{ij}(\psi_{GHZ}) = \frac{1}{2} \eta_i \eta_j, \quad \text{note that: } b(w_{ij}(\psi_{GHZ})) = 0 \quad (7.45)$$

$$w_{ij}(\partial_l \psi_{GHZ}) = 0 \quad (7.46)$$

$$w_{ij}(\partial_k \partial_l \psi_{GHZ}) = 0 \quad (7.47)$$

and $\mathcal{H}(\psi_{GHZ}) = \frac{1}{2}$, but $\det \mathcal{L}_{ij} = 0$ and also $M = N = L = 0$. The Wronskians w_{ij} are sensitive enough to detect nonfactorability, and what is important, not only the body of w_{ij} is important indeed.

E2: Similar result, we obtain for the $n = 4$ W-state $\frac{1}{2}(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4)$. Here $\mathcal{H}(\psi_W) = 0$, and

$$w_{ij}(\psi_W) = -\frac{1}{4} \quad (7.48)$$

$$w_{ij}(\partial_l \psi_W) = 0 \quad (7.49)$$

$$w_{ij}(\partial_k \partial_l \psi_W) = 0. \quad (7.50)$$

This time, the nonzero content of the w_{ij} is contained in the body of this Wronskian. As before: $\det \mathcal{L}_{ij} = 0$ and $M = N = L = 0$. In conclusion we see, that here also the week criterion fails to detect essential nonseparability of the Werner state.

E3: Present example shows that, the week criterion can be useful. Let us consider the following state: $\psi = \frac{1}{2}(\eta_3 + \eta_4 + \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3 + \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_4)$. We have that $\det \mathcal{L}_{ik}^{PT} = 0 = \det \mathcal{L}_{ik}$ for $i = 1, 2; k = 3, 4$. But $\det \mathcal{L}_{12} = \det \mathcal{L}_{34} = \frac{1}{16} e^{\eta_1 \eta_2} \neq 0$. This signals actual factorability of this state, as $\psi = \psi_{GHZ}^{(12)} \psi_W^{(34)}$ and remaining nonfactorability inside pairs of variables (1-2) and (3-4).

8. Entanglement monotones and flavors of entanglement of η -functions

The task of finding a good definition of proper, sensitive and operational entanglement monotone is not trivial. Despite that there are accepted monotones for $n = 2, 3, 4$ [42] there is still effort to modify them to suit special needs. Here we want to analyze known entanglement monotones in terms of η -functions and relate them to the criteria of the non/factorization of these functions. What is remarkable, that the entanglement monotones for pure states can be expressed in terms of Wronskians of the η -functions representing relevant state. Such representation of the entanglement monotones gives an insight onto the flavor of entanglement of particular family of states.

In our discussion we shall follow the number of qubits and focus only on the pure state entanglement of bipartite systems.

(a) $n=2$

The well known entanglement monotone for this case, the concurrence can be expressed using the defined above Wronskian

$$\mathcal{C}(F(\eta_1, \eta_2)) = 2|w_{12}(F(\eta_1, \eta_2))|, \quad \langle F, F \rangle = 1, \quad (8.1)$$

where the scalar product is defined in $\mathcal{N}$ -Hilbert space $\mathcal{F}_0(\vec{\eta})$ and in components it takes the form $\langle F, F \rangle = |F_0|^2 + |F_1|^2 + |F_2|^2 + |F_{12}|^2$. Using the notion of the comb [43] and antilinear mapping $F \mapsto F^c$, where $F^c = (\sigma^y \otimes \sigma^y) \bar{F}$ one can express concurrence as

$$\mathcal{C}(F) = |\langle F^c, F \rangle| = 2|F_0 F_{12} - F_1 F_2|. \quad (8.2)$$

The operator $\sigma^y \otimes \sigma^y$ is realized in the $\mathcal{F}_0(\vec{\eta})$ in the following form

$$\sigma^y \otimes \sigma^y = -(\partial_1 \partial_2 + \eta_1 \eta_2 - \eta_2 \partial_1 - \eta_1 \partial_2) \quad (8.3)$$

It is interesting that by taking modulus of scalar product of the entries of defined above Wronski matrix i.e.

$$\mathcal{V}_i = 2| \langle \partial_i F, F \rangle | \quad (8.4)$$

and analogously defining

$$\mathcal{P}_i = | \langle F, J_i(F) \rangle |, \quad (8.5)$$

where $J_1(F(\eta_1, \eta_2)) = F(-\eta_1, \eta_2)$ and $J_2(F(\eta_1, \eta_2)) = F(\eta_1, -\eta_2)$, we reproduce so called complementarity relations

$$\mathcal{C}^2(F) + \mathcal{V}_i^2(F) + \mathcal{P}_i^2(F) = \langle F, F \rangle^2 = 1, \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (8.6)$$

Using projections $\pi_{k|0}$ and $\pi_{k|1}$ we can express known in the literature parameters w, z, ζ [44] by the η -scalar product and $\mathcal{D}_1$ of a η -function F

$$w = \mathcal{D}_1(F(\eta_1), \tilde{F}(\eta_1)) = \int F(\eta_1) \wedge \tilde{F}(\eta_1) d\eta_1 \quad (8.7)$$

$$= \int F(\eta_2) \wedge \tilde{F}(\eta_2) d\eta_2 = \mathcal{D}_1(F(\eta_2), \tilde{F}(\eta_2))$$

$$\zeta = \langle F(\eta_1), \tilde{F}(\eta_1) \rangle = \int \bar{F}(\eta_1) \tilde{F}(\eta_1) e^{\bar{\eta}_1 \eta_1} d\bar{\eta}_1 d\eta_1 \quad (8.8)$$

$$z = \langle F(\eta_2), \tilde{F}(\eta_2) \rangle = \int \bar{F}(\eta_2) \tilde{F}(\eta_2) e^{\bar{\eta}_2 \eta_2} d\bar{\eta}_2 d\eta_2 \quad (8.9)$$

and we have that $\mathcal{C} = 2|w|$, $\mathcal{V}_1 = 2|z|$, $\mathcal{V}_2 = 2|\zeta|$.

(b) $n=3$

The 3-tangle [45, 46] is the principal entanglement monotone used to detect and measure the "degree" of non-separability of 3-qubit systems. It makes use of the hyperdeterminant known in invariants theory for a long time

$$\tau_{123} = 4|Det(F)| \quad (8.10)$$

It is sensitive enough to detect the GHZ state entanglement, which is maximal, but it neglects the entangled character of the Werner state. In the cited above paper, Coffman Kundu and Wootters derived relation for the 3-tangle τ_{123} and mutual concurrences of bipartite systems of three qubits (we number qubits instead labeling them by the letter) in the following form

$$\mathcal{C}_{1(23)}^2 = \mathcal{C}_{12}^2 + \mathcal{C}_{13}^2 + \tau_{123} \quad (8.11)$$

Using this equation, one can define entanglement monotone symmetric with respect qubit indices, averaged over possible configurations of qubits. Namely,

$$Q(F) = \frac{1}{3}(\mathcal{C}_{1(23)}^2 + \mathcal{C}_{2(13)}^2 + \mathcal{C}_{3(12)}^2) = \frac{2}{3}(\mathcal{C}_{12}^2 + \mathcal{C}_{13}^2 + \mathcal{C}_{23}^2) + \tau_{123} \quad (8.12)$$

Let us note that the Q is the $n = 3$ realization of global entanglement measure introduced by Meyer and Wallach [31] for arbitrary n (cf. also [47] for other form of this function).

It can be seen that (cf. Appendix F for the proof)

$$Det(F) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_k (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k^2 - 4w_{ij}(F|_{\eta_k=0})w_{ij}(\partial_k F), \quad i \neq j \neq k \quad (8.13)$$

Using η -realization of σ matrices and the scalar product in $\mathcal{N}$ -Hilbert, one gets that

$$\langle \sigma_2^{ij} \bar{F}, F \rangle = -2 (w_{ij}(F|_{\eta_1=0}) + w_{ij}(\partial_k F)), \quad (8.14)$$

where bar denotes complex conjugation and σ_2^{ij} is a tensor product of $\mathbb{I}$ and σ_2 matrices on i^{th} and j^{th} positions e.g. $\sigma_2^{23} = \mathbb{I} \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_2$. Let us consider the following monotone

$$\mu(F) = \frac{2}{3} \left(\sum_{i < j} |\langle \sigma_2^{ij} \bar{F}, F \rangle|^2 + \tau_{123} \right) \quad (8.15)$$

$$\mu(F) = \frac{4}{3} \left(2 \sum_k |w_{ij}(F|_{\eta_k=0}) + w_{ij}(\partial_k F)|^2 + \left| \sum_k (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k^2 - 4w_{ij}(F|_{\eta_k=0})w_{ij}(\partial_k F)) \right| \right) \quad (8.16)$$

With the use of relation (7.14) the $\mu(F)$ can be expressed solely in terms of determinants and traces of the Wronski matrices $W_{ij}(F)$, $W_{ij}(\partial_k F)$ namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(F) = & \frac{4}{3} \left(2 \sum_k |w_{ij}(F|_{\eta_k=0}) + w_{ij}(\partial_k F)|^2 + \left| \sum_k ((tr W_{ij}(F|_{\eta_k=0}) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. \cdot tr W_{ij}(\partial_k F) - tr(W_{ij}(F|_{\eta_k=0})W_{ij}(\partial_k F)))^2 - 4w_{ij}(F|_{\eta_k=0})w_{ij}(\partial_k F) \right| \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8.17)$$

Above representation shows explicitly how entanglement and factorability properties are intertwined.

From our previous discussion on Wronskians and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_i$ it follows that duality transformation preserves the value of μ i.e. $\mu(F) = \mu(\star F)$. Let us test its behavior on some states. It will be instructive to come back to the functions considered in examples illustrating types of factorability of η -functions in Sec c. Now the normalization of η -function is important, to have fixed scale of values for μ .

Examples:

E1: $\mu(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3)) = \frac{8}{9}$

The ψ_W state contributes to the value of μ only by the relative 2-qubit entanglement, the 3-tangle τ_{123} vanishes. Analogously situation is for $\star\psi_W$; $\mu(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\eta_1\eta_2 + \eta_1\eta_3 + \eta_2\eta_3)) = \frac{8}{9}$

E2: The GHZ state cotributes to the value of μ only through the 3-tangle $\mu(\psi_{GHZ} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + \eta_1\eta_2\eta_3)) = 1$

E3: It is interesting to compare behavior of μ on states of the form $\sim 1 + \star\psi_W$ and $\sim 1 + \psi_W$. For the first one we get $\mu(\frac{1}{2}(1 + \eta_1\eta_2 + \eta_1\eta_3 + \eta_2\eta_3)) = 1$ with contribution solely from 3-tangle, but for the second state we get only contribution from the relative 2-qubit entanglement and $\mu(\frac{1}{2}(1 + \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3)) = \frac{3}{4}$.

E4: The function in this example and the one in the **E5** give the same value of μ with almost the same mechanism, in both cases 3-tangle vanishes; $\mu(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(1 + \eta_1\eta_3 + \eta_2\eta_3)) = \frac{8}{9}$.

E5: $\mu(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_1\eta_2\eta_3)) = \frac{8}{9}$

E6: Here we have nonvanishing $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_3$ and 3-tangle vanishes due to some cancelations of terms, again nonzero contribution to μ comes from the 2-qubit subsystem entanglement; $\mu(\psi = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \eta_3 + \eta_1\eta_2 + \eta_1\eta_2\eta_3)) = \frac{1}{6}$.

Note: Taking $\psi_W^{(12)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\eta_1 + \eta_2)$ and $\psi_{GHZ}^{(12)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + \eta_1\eta_2)$ as the 3-qubit states with trivially factorized third qubit we get that $\mu(\psi_W^{(12)}) = \frac{2}{3}$ and $\mu(\psi_{GHZ}^{(12)}) = \frac{2}{3}$.

(c) $n=4$

(i) *Representatives of equivalence classes of entangled η -wavefunctions*

Here we shall use results of the approach to entanglement based SLOCC classification. The problem of equivalence of the non-normalized pure states under permutations and the SLOCC transformations having determinant equal one was solved with use the invariants theory [42, 48, 41]. The representatives were found originally by [42] but we shall follow the modified form obtained in [48]. In terms of elementary η -functions these nine invariants take the following form (for convenience of the reader natural form these functions is given in Appendix G)

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1 = & \frac{a+d}{2}e^{\bar{\eta}} + \frac{a-d}{2}(\cos(\eta_1 - \eta_2) - \cos(\eta_3 + \eta_4)) + \frac{b+c}{2}(\cos(\eta_1 - \eta_3) \\ & - \cos(\eta_2 + \eta_4)) + \frac{b-c}{2}(\cos(\eta_1 - \eta_4) - \cos(\eta_2 + \eta_3)) \end{aligned} \quad (8.18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_2 = & \frac{a+c-i}{2}e^{\bar{\eta}} + \frac{a-c+i}{2}(\cos(\eta_1 - \eta_2) - \cos(\eta_3 + \eta_4)) + \frac{b+c+i}{2}(\cos(\eta_1 - \eta_3) \\ & - \cos(\eta_2 + \eta_4)) + \frac{b-c-i}{2}(\cos(\eta_1 - \eta_4) - \cos(\eta_2 + \eta_3)) + \frac{i}{2}(\sin(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_4) \\ & - \sin(\eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4) + \sin(\eta_1 + \eta_3 + \eta_4) - \sin(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3)) \end{aligned} \quad (8.19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_3 = & \frac{a}{2}e^{\eta_1\eta_2+\eta_3\eta_4} + \frac{b+1}{2}(\cos(\eta_1 - \eta_3) - \cos(\eta_2 + \eta_4)) + \frac{b-1}{2}(\cos(\eta_1 - \eta_4) \\ & - \cos(\eta_2 + \eta_3)) + \frac{1}{2}(\sin(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3) - \sin(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_4)) \end{aligned} \quad (8.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_4 = & \frac{a+b}{2}e^{\bar{\eta}} + b(\cos(\eta_1 - \eta_3) - \cos(\eta_2 + \eta_4)) + i(\cos(\eta_2 - \eta_3) - \cos(\eta_1 + \eta_4)) \\ & - 2\sin\eta_2\sin\eta_3 + \frac{a-b}{2}(\cos(\eta_1 - \eta_2) - \cos(\eta_3 + \eta_4)) + \frac{1}{2}(\sin(\eta_1 - \eta_2 - \eta_3 + \eta_4) \\ & + \sin(\eta_1 + \eta_4) - \sin(\eta_2 + \eta_3)) \end{aligned} \quad (8.21)$$

$$\Psi_5 = \frac{a}{2} e^{\eta_1 \eta_2 + \eta_3 \eta_4} - 2i(\sin \eta_2 \cos(\eta_1 + \eta_3) + \cos(\eta_1 + \eta_4) - 1) \quad (8.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_6 = & \frac{a+i}{2} e^{\eta_1 \eta_2 + \eta_3 \eta_4} + \frac{a+i+1}{2} (\cos(\eta_1 - \eta_3) - \cos(\eta_2 + \eta_4) + \frac{a-i-1}{2} (\cos(\eta_2 - \eta_3) - \\ & - \cos(\eta_1 + \eta_4))) + \frac{i}{2} (\sin(\eta_1 + \eta_3 + \eta_4) - \sin(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_4) + \sin(\eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4) - \\ & - \sin(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3)) + \frac{1}{2} (\sin(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3) - \sin(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_4)) \end{aligned} \quad (8.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_7 = & \sin \eta_1 \sin(\eta_1 + \eta_3 + \eta_4) + \sin \eta_2 \sin(\eta_1 - \eta_3 + \eta_4) + i(\sin(\eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4) \\ & + \sin(\eta_1 - \eta_2 - \eta_4) - \sin(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3)) \end{aligned} \quad (8.24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_8 = & \frac{1}{2} (\cos(i(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4)) - \sin(\eta_1 + \eta_2 - \eta_3 - \eta_4) + \sin(\eta_1 + \eta_2) - \sin(\eta_3 + \eta_4) + \\ & + \sin(\eta_1 - \eta_3) + \sin(\eta_2 - \eta_4)) + \frac{i}{2} (\cos(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4) + \cos(\eta_1 + \eta_3 + \eta_4) - \\ & - \cos(\eta_2 - \eta_3) - 2 \sin(\eta_1 + \eta_3 - \eta_4) + \sin(\eta_1 - \eta_2 + \eta_3) - \sin(\eta_1 - \eta_2 + \eta_4)) \end{aligned} \quad (8.25)$$

$$\Psi_9 = \frac{1}{2} e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2} \cos(\eta_3 - \eta_4) + \frac{i}{2} e^{\eta_1 - \eta_2} \sin(\eta_3 + \eta_4) \quad (8.26)$$

where $\vec{\eta} = \vec{\eta}_4 = \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3 \eta_4$. It turns out that Ψ_k for $k = 1, 2, 3, 6$ are selfdual i.e. $\star(\Psi_k) = \Psi_k$. Let us observe that representation by means of the elementary η function is fluent in the sense that we have various identities for them, which can be used to change actual form of Ψ_i . As noted by Verstraete et al [49] the G_{abcd} state (here it is Ψ_1 function) is of generic type (any pure state of 4-qubits can be transformed into it) and with maximal 4-partite entanglement. It is remarkable that using η -functions it is easy to note that

$$G_{abcd} = \Psi_1 = \frac{a}{2} e^{\eta_1 \eta_2} e^{\eta_3 \eta_4} + \frac{d}{2} e^{-\eta_1 \eta_2} e^{-\eta_3 \eta_4} + \frac{b}{2} (\eta_1 + \eta_2)(\eta_3 + \eta_4) + \frac{c}{2} (\eta_1 - \eta_2)(\eta_3 - \eta_4) \quad (8.27)$$

what means a sum of "diagonal" products of the GHZ and Werner states respectively of two-qubit subsystems of our total 4-qubit system. Namely,

$$G_{abcd} = \Psi_1 = \frac{a}{2} \psi_{GHZ+}^{(12)} \psi_{GHZ+}^{(34)} + \frac{d}{2} \psi_{GHZ-}^{(12)} \psi_{GHZ-}^{(34)} + \frac{b}{2} \psi_{W+}^{(12)} \psi_{W+}^{(34)} + \frac{c}{2} \psi_{W-}^{(12)} \psi_{W-}^{(34)} \quad (8.28)$$

Above factorized form shows the flavor of entanglement of the Ψ_1 function.

(ii) Entanglement monotones based on polynomial invariants

As it is well studied in the literature one of the main invariants in this case is the Cayley determinant. Another set of invariants consists of the determinants $D_{uv} = \det(B_{uv})$ [41]. Luque and Thibon give explicit form of them. It appears that in terms of the η -functions they are related to the following Wronski matrices

$$B_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}(\pi_i \pi_j F) & \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_j(\pi_i F) & \mathcal{H}(\pi_i \partial_j F) \\ \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_i(\pi_j F) & \mathcal{H}_{ij}(F) & \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_i(\partial_j F) \\ \mathcal{H}(\partial_i \pi_j F) & \mathcal{H}_j(\partial_i F) & \mathcal{H}(\partial_i \partial_j F) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8.29)$$

where the $\mathcal{H}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_i$ and $\mathcal{H}_{ij}$ are taken in appropriate form for η -functions with $n = 2, 3, 4$ variables. Taking determinants for pairs $(ij) = (12), (13), (14)$ we get invariants which

are equal to $D_{xy} = \det(B_{xy})$, $D_{xz} = \det(B_{xz})$ and $D_{xt} = \det(B_{xt})$ in the notation of Ref.[41]. From the identity (7.42) it follows that

$$L^2 + M^2 + N^2 = -2(MN + NL + ML). \quad (8.30)$$

To get symmetric (permutation invariant) monotones let us use the so called Schläfli basis $\{\mathcal{H}, W, \Sigma, \Pi\}$, where $W = D_{xy} + D_{xz} + D_{xt}$, $\Sigma = L^2 + M^2 + N^2$ and $\Pi = (L - M)(M - N)(N - L)$. Osterloh and Siewert introduced for $n = 4$ the entanglement monotones $|\mathcal{F}_i|$; $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, which can be expressed in the Schläfli basis as follows (we modify slightly the form of relations from [43, 50])

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = 8(4W - \mathcal{H}^3) \quad (8.31)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = 16(\mathcal{H}^4 - 4\mathcal{H}W - 4(\mathcal{H}D_{xt} + 4LM)) \quad (8.32)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_3 = 32(\mathcal{H}^6 - 24\mathcal{H}^2\Sigma - 64\Pi) \quad (8.33)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_4 = 16(\mathcal{H}^4 - 4\mathcal{H}W - 4(\mathcal{H}D_{xz} + 4LN)) \quad (8.34)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_5 = 16(\mathcal{H}^4 - 4\mathcal{H}W - 4(\mathcal{H}D_{xy} + 4MN)) \quad (8.35)$$

Therefore the $|\mathcal{F}_i|$ for $i = 2, 4, 5$ are not symmetric, but can be combined into the symmetric entanglement monotone. As proposed in [50] one can take a combination of them to get symmetric entanglement monotone

$$|\mathcal{F}'_2| = |\mathcal{F}_2 + \mathcal{F}_4 + \mathcal{F}_5| = 16|3\mathcal{H}^4 - 16\mathcal{H}W + 8\Sigma| \quad (8.36)$$

Let us check how such defined symmetric entanglement monotone behaves on the following nontrivially entangled states:

- the GHZ-state: $\psi_{GHZ} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + \eta_1\eta_2\eta_3\eta_4)$, $\star\psi_{GHZ} = \psi_{GHZ}$
- the Werner state: $\psi_W = \frac{1}{2}(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4)$ and dual to it state $\star\psi_W = \frac{1}{2}(\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3 + \eta_1\eta_2\eta_4 + \eta_1\eta_3\eta_4 + \eta_2\eta_3\eta_4)$
- the cluster Werner state: $\psi_{CW} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(\eta_1\eta_2 + \eta_1\eta_3 + \eta_1\eta_4 + \eta_2\eta_3 + \eta_2\eta_4 + \eta_3\eta_4)$. It is a selfdual state $\star\psi_{CW} = \psi_{CW}$
- $\phi^{(ij)}$ -family: $\phi_{\pm\pm}^{(ij)} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(\psi_{W\pm}^{(ij)} + \eta_k\eta_l\psi_{GHZ\pm}^{(ij)})$, i.e. $\phi_{++}^{(ij)}$, $\phi_{--}^{(ij)}$, $\phi_{+-}^{(ij)}$, and $\phi_{-+}^{(ij)}$,
- $\chi^{(ij)}$ -family: $\chi_{\pm\pm}^{(ij)} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(\psi_{GHZ\pm}^{(ij)} + \eta_k\eta_l\psi_{W\pm}^{(ij)})$, i.e. $\chi_{++}^{(ij)}$, $\chi_{--}^{(ij)}$, $\chi_{+-}^{(ij)}$, and $\chi_{-+}^{(ij)}$,
- $\psi^{(ij)}$ -family: $\psi_{\pm\mp}^{(ij)} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(\psi_{GHZ\pm}^{(ij)} + \eta_k\eta_l\psi_{GHZ\mp}^{(ij)})$, i.e. $\psi_{+-}^{(ij)}$, $\psi_{-+}^{(ij)}$
- $\lambda^{(ij)}$ -family: $\lambda_{\pm\mp}^{(ij)} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(\psi_{GHZ\pm}^{(ij)} + \eta_k\eta_l\psi_{GHZ\mp}^{(ij)})$, i.e. $\lambda_{+-}^{(ij)}$, $\lambda_{-+}^{(ij)}$.
- $\Phi_{A\pm}^{(jk,l)}$ -family: $\Phi_{A\pm}^{(jk,l)} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(\psi_{GHZ\pm} + \eta_j\psi_W^{(kl)} + \eta_k\psi_W^{(il)})$ e.g. $\Phi_{A\pm}^{(23,4)} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(\psi_{GHZ\pm} + \eta_2\psi_W^{(34)} + \eta_3\psi_W^{(14)})$
- $\tilde{\Phi}_{\pm}$ -states: $\tilde{\Phi}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_{GHZ\pm} + \sqrt{3}\psi_{CW})$,

where we take strictly ordered multi-indices (ij) , or (ij, k) . Above families of states are spanned on pairs of the 2-qubit GHZ-states and W-states of the respective subsystems, or as in the case of $\Phi_{A\pm}$, $\tilde{\Phi}_{\pm}$ on total ψ_{GHZ} state and relevant $\psi_{(ij)}$, or ψ_{CW} states. From the

Table 3. Values of the entanglement monotones $|\mathcal{F}'_2|$ and $|\mathcal{F}_3|$ on selected families of states.

	ψ_{GHZ}	ψ_W	ψ_{CW}	$\phi_{\pm\pm}^{(ij)}$	$\chi_{\pm\pm}^{(ij)}$	$\psi_{+-}^{(ij)}$	$\lambda_{+-}^{(ij)}$	$\phi_{A+}^{(jk,l)}$	$\phi_{A-}^{(jk,l)}$	$\tilde{\Phi}_{A+}$	$\tilde{\Phi}_{A-}$
$ \mathcal{F}'_2 $	3	0	$\frac{11}{9}$	1	1	1	1	0	$(\frac{2}{3})^4$	3	$(\frac{3}{4})^2$
$ \mathcal{F}_3 $	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1	1	1	0	$(\frac{2}{3})^6$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$(\frac{1}{2})^7$
$\mathcal{H}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$
W	0	0	$\frac{1}{72}$	0	0	0	0	$2(\frac{1}{6})^3$	$2(\frac{1}{6})^3$	0	$3(\frac{1}{2})^8$
Σ	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{27}$	$\frac{1}{27}$	$\frac{1}{27}$	$\frac{1}{27}$	$2(\frac{1}{6})^4$	$2(\frac{1}{6})^4$	0	0
Π	0	0	0	$-(\frac{1}{2})^{11}$	$(\frac{1}{2})^{11}$	$(\frac{1}{2})^{11}$	$(\frac{1}{2})^{11}$	$-2(\frac{1}{6})^6$	$2(\frac{1}{6})^6$	0	0

η -function point of view they are natural and interesting as vectors in the $\mathcal{N}$ -Hilbert space. Some examples of them already appeared in the literature in the context of entanglement, but without reference to the decomposition we use e.g. three states belonging to the $\phi_{++}^{(ij)}$ family ($\phi_{++}^{(1,3)}, \phi_{++}^{(2,3)}, \phi_{++}^{(3,4)}$) were discussed in Ref. [50] and the $\Phi_{A\pm}^{(23,4)}$ was used in the Ref. [51]. It is fruitful to compare behavior of $|\mathcal{F}'_2|$ and $|\mathcal{F}_3|$ on above sets of states. We collect their values in the Table 3, together with the values of invariants contributing to the $|\mathcal{F}'_2|$ and $|\mathcal{F}_3|$. Taking into account the way the final value is achieved one finds, that the cluster Werner state, $\Phi_{A\pm}^{(jk,l)}$ -family and $\tilde{\Phi}_{\pm}$ -family of states are interesting, because they give contributions from different channels: $\mathcal{H}, W, \Sigma, \Pi$. The family $\Phi_{A+}^{(ij,k)}$ is exceptional, in the sense that, despite nontrivial contributions from all invariants: $\mathcal{H}, W, \Sigma, \Pi$ both monotones give zero as the entanglement measure. Other states are detected, if at all, only by one of available invariants contributing to entanglement monotones. The entanglement of the Werner state (or dual Werner state $\star\psi_W$) is not detected at all. As we already discussed for the ψ_W , in that case one can get contributions directly from the Wronskian $w_{ij}(\psi_W) = -\frac{1}{4}$. It is worth noting that $|\mathcal{F}'_2|$ and $|\mathcal{F}_3|$ give similar information about entanglement of considered states. Simultaneous vanishing of their values for arbitrary state is not obvious from the definitions of these entanglement monotones, except a particular case when the Cayley determinant $\mathcal{H}$ for a state vanishes and one of the determinants M, N or L is zero. Let, for example $M \neq 0$ and $L = 0$, then $N = -M$, and we have that

$$|\mathcal{F}'_2| = 2^8 |M|^2, \quad (8.37)$$

$$|\mathcal{F}_3| = 2^{12} |M|^3, \quad (8.38)$$

or equivalently

$$|\mathcal{F}_3| = 2^4 |M| |\mathcal{F}'_2|. \quad (8.39)$$

We meet such a case for the families of states: $\psi_{\pm\mp}^{(ij)}, \lambda_{\pm\mp}^{(ij)}, \phi_{\pm\pm}^{(ij)}, \chi_{\pm\pm}^{(ij)}$, as well as for the states $\phi_{A-}^{(jk,l)}$.

9. Conclusions

Description of the entanglement is a complex task, therefore any formulation which allows to see the problem from a new perspective is welcomed and may help in getting desired answer. The analysis of the qubit systems presented in this paper makes use of the new tool suitable for description of two level boson-like object - the nilpotent commuting variables.

In spite of the nilpotency, the property which such variables share with the Grassmannian ones (used in supersymmetric theories), the nilpotent commuting variables yield theory which is different then one known from supersymmetry. There, fermionic systems are described by means of the anticommuting, hence nilpotent variables with the use of the well developed supermathematics. Here, the differential calculus is not the supercalculus, but the new one, with modified Leibniz rule. For qubits, we do not want to use the anticommutation relations suitable for fermions, but qubit commutation relations which are, on the other hand, not bosonic ones, but define parafermions. Qubit has two dimensional representations and commuting nilpotent variables automatically provide correct properties of the single qubit and multiqubit systems. In analogy to the (super)symmetric theories there exists the classical mechanics for systems described by commuting nilpotent variables [5]. It is worth noting that, nilpotent variables emerge also in quantum field theory of complex systems. Supporting the idea that the nilpotent commuting variables give natural language for description of two level systems, but composed one - not fermionic.

In the present paper there is developed further the formalism which can be used for the description of qubits. This formalism seems to be effective, practical and easy to interpret. Firstly, in this context nilpotent commuting variables were used by Mandilara et al. [10, 11]. On the other hand, the present author developed the formalism suitable to describe classical systems with nilpotent commuting coordinates, in analogy to pseudomechanical systems described by anticommuting variables. The peculiarities of appropriate differential calculus and an analog of the variational calculus were studied [5]. Hence, by now, various building blocks of the theory involving nilpotent commuting variables are at hand. In this work we have introduced the $\mathcal{N}$ -Hilbert space of η -wave functions allowing to formulate the Schrödinger description of quantum system including the analog of the Schrödinger equation (cf. [10]). It is remarkable that simple two qubit system, when not degenerate has the eigenvectors system consisting of Bloch vectors, and hence entangled. We have also addressed the question of hybrid, composed systems in analogy to the supersymmetric boson-fermion systems. As specially interesting we find the qubit-fermion system. It is really supersymmetric, in its simplest form it is composed of two, two level systems, having superalgebra of charges and supersymmetry transformations. It exhibits the effect present also in the conventional quantum supersymmetric systems with a periodic potential, that the Witten index vanishes.

Many characteristic state vectors considered in literature in the context of entanglement have very simple and natural representation as η -functions. They are just exponents, or trigonometric functions. In the present paper there are also introduced and studied the symmetric η -polynomials, Hermite η -polynomials etc. The strong indication that η -functions are well tailored for representing the qubit systems comes also from the description of entanglement. Invariants known in the literature for various $n = 2, 3, 4$ brought to the physics from the classical invariants theory, frequently with complicated origins, here appear as simple expressions in Wronskians of η -functions. The questions of flavors of pure state entanglement and factorization within this formalism have natural, intuitive setting.

We hope that the results of the Mandilara et al. [10] and results obtained in the present work show that the η -formalism is suitable and effective tool for the description of entanglement. We think that it is something more then an ad hoc tool. The nilpotent classical mechanics [5] and nilpotent quantum mechanics as well as field theory with nilpotent commuting variables [12, 9] reveal reach structure and deserve further study, although they describe non-fundamental particles, but composite ones.

The author thanks cordially Andrzej Borowiec for many discussions and Jaromir Simsa for making available his works on factorizations of functions of conventional variables.

Appendix A. Permanent and Hafnian

As it is known there are four important functions from the set of square matrices into the ring or field over which matrices are considered. Namely determinant and permanent, then Pfaffian and Hafnian [52]. The permanent, like determinant is defined for any square matrix, Pfaffian for antisymmetric matrices and the Hafnian for symmetric ones, but in both cases $n = 2k$. The determinant and Pfaffian are sensitive to the parity of permutation while permanent and Hafnian are not. This makes great difference in properties of above objects and the level of complication in computing them. The determinant/Pfaffian is very universal object and more easy to handle than permanent/Hafnian, its computational complexity is of polynomial type while according to the Valiant's conjecture: there is no polynomial-sized formula for the permanent [53]. This is important obstruction in calculating plane partitions in any models using bipartite planar graphs. Such a questions are extremely important in e.g. dimer problem in statistical mechanics.

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix, the permanent of $A = (a_{ij})$ is a sum over permutations S_n

$$\text{per}(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod a_{i\sigma(i)}, \quad (\text{A } 1)$$

so $\det$ is just a signed sum of the same type. Analogous relation is for Pfaffian and Hafnian. Let $A_{2n \times 2n}$ be a symmetric matrix and α be a partition i.e. $\alpha\{(i_1, j_1), i_2, j_2), \dots, (i_n, j_n)\}$ (where $i_k < j_k, i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n$) and σ be a corresponding permutation

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \dots & 2n-1 & 2n \\ i_1 & j_1 & i_2 & j_2 & \dots & i_n & j_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\alpha \longrightarrow A_\alpha = a_{i_1 j_1} a_{i_2 j_2} \dots a_{i_n j_n} \quad (\text{A } 2)$$

By Pfaffian one understands the signed sum

$$\text{Pf}(A) = \sum_{\alpha \in S_{2n}} (-1)^{|\alpha|} A_\alpha, \quad (\text{A } 3)$$

and by Hafnian, $\text{Hf}(A)$,

$$\text{Hf}(A) = \sum_{\alpha \in S_{2n}} A_\alpha, \quad (\text{A } 4)$$

The Pfaffian satisfies, among others, two important identities [52]

$$\text{Pf}(A)^2 = \det(A), \quad \text{Pf}(B^T A B) = \det(B) \text{Pf}(A). \quad (\text{A } 5)$$

Analogous relations, in general, are not valid for the permanent and Hafnian (cf. [52])

Appendix B. Index conversion table

To aid readers coming from various notational conventions we collect conversions of indices in binary, decimal and η function expansion component notation.

n=4		
binary	decimal	η -function
a_{0000}	a_0	F_0
a_{0001}	a_1	F_4
a_{0010}	a_2	F_3
a_{0011}	a_3	F_{34}
a_{0100}	a_4	F_2
a_{0101}	a_5	F_{24}
a_{0110}	a_6	F_{23}
a_{0111}	a_7	F_{234}
a_{1000}	a_8	F_1
a_{1001}	a_9	F_{14}
a_{1010}	a_{10}	F_{13}
a_{1011}	a_{11}	F_{134}
a_{1100}	a_{12}	F_{12}
a_{1101}	a_{13}	F_{124}
a_{1110}	a_{14}	F_{123}
a_{1111}	a_{15}	F_{1234}

Appendix C. Proof of factorization for n=2

When $F(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ factorizes, then obviously $w_{12}(F) = 0$. Now, let $F(\eta_1, \eta_2) = F_0 + F_1\eta_1 + F_2\eta_2 + F_{12}\eta_1\eta_2$ and $w_{12}(F) = 0$.

- Let $F_0, F_1, F_2, F_{12} \neq 0$, then $F_{12} = \frac{F_1 F_2}{F_0}$. Hence

$$F(\eta_1, \eta_2) = F_0 \left(1 + \frac{F_1}{F_0}\eta_1 + \frac{F_2}{F_0}\eta_2 + \frac{F_1 F_2}{F_0^2}\eta_1\eta_2 \right) = F_0 \exp \left\{ \frac{F_1}{F_0}\eta_1 + \frac{F_2}{F_0}\eta_2 \right\} \quad (\text{C } 1)$$

and, e.g. $G(\eta_1) = F_0 e^{\frac{F_1}{F_0}\eta_1}$ and $G(\eta_2) = e^{\frac{F_2}{F_0}\eta_2}$

- Let $F_0 \neq 0, F_{12} = 0$, then e.g. $F_2 = 0$ and $F_1 \neq 0$ and $F(\eta_1, \eta_2) = 1 \cdot (F_0 + F_1\eta_1)$
- Let $F_0 = 0$, then F_1 or F_2 vanishes. Let $F_1 \neq 0$

$$F(\eta_1, \eta_2) = F_1\eta_1 + F_{12}\eta_1\eta_2 = \eta_1(F_1 + F_{12}\eta_2) \quad (\text{C } 2)$$

Analogously for $F_2 \neq 0; F = \eta_2(F_2 + F_{12}\eta_1)$.

Appendix D. Proof of the strong factorization criterion for n=3

Let us consider the (12)(3)-separation. We have to show that there exists decomposition $F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3) = G(\eta_1, \eta_2)\tilde{G}(\eta_3)$ iff

$$w_{13}(F) = 0, \quad w_{13}(\partial_2 F) = 0, \quad (\text{D } 1)$$

$$w_{23}(F) = 0, \quad w_{23}(\partial_1 F) = 0 \quad (\text{D } 2)$$

Let $F = G(\eta_1, \eta_2)\tilde{G}(\eta_3) = G\tilde{G}$

$$w_{13}(F) = \begin{vmatrix} G \cdot \tilde{G} & \partial_1 G \cdot \tilde{G} \\ G \cdot \partial_3 \tilde{G} & \partial_1 G \cdot \partial_3 \tilde{G} \end{vmatrix} = 0 \quad (\text{D } 3)$$

and

$$w_{13}(\partial_2 F) = \begin{vmatrix} \partial_2 G \cdot \tilde{G} & \partial_1 \partial_2 G \cdot \tilde{G} \\ \partial_2 G \cdot \partial_3 \tilde{G} & \partial_1 \partial_2 G \cdot \partial_3 \tilde{G} \end{vmatrix} = 0 \quad (\text{D 4})$$

Analogously $w_{23}(F) = 0 = w_{23}(\partial_1 F)$. Now, let us see how factorization follows from vanishing Wronskians. We have

$$w_{13}(F|_{\eta_2=0}) = 0, \quad , \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2 = 0, \quad w_{13}(\partial_2 F) = 0, \quad (\text{D 5})$$

$$w_{23}(F|_{\eta_1=0}) = 0, \quad , \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1 = 0, \quad w_{13}(\partial_1 F) = 0, \quad (\text{D 6})$$

$$(\text{D 7})$$

Taking $\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1 + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2) = 0$ and $\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1 - \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2) = 0$ we obtain the following set of conditions

$$F_0 F_{13} - F_1 F_3 = 0, \quad (\text{D 8})$$

$$F_0 F_{23} - F_2 F_3 = 0, \quad (\text{D 9})$$

$$F_0 F_{123} - F_3 F_{12} = 0, \quad (\text{D 10})$$

$$F_1 F_{23} - F_2 F_{13} = 0, \quad (\text{D 11})$$

$$F_1 F_{123} - F_{12} F_{13} = 0, \quad (\text{D 12})$$

$$F_2 F_{123} - F_{12} F_{23} = 0 \quad (\text{D 13})$$

For any $F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$ we can write $F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3) = \overset{(2)}{F}(\eta_1, \eta_3) + \eta_2 \overset{(2)}{\tilde{F}}(\eta_1, \eta_3)$ with

$$\overset{(2)}{F}(\eta_1, \eta_3) = F_0 + F_1 \eta_1 + F_3 \eta_3 + F_{13} \eta_1 \eta_3 = F_0 + F_1 \eta_1 + \eta_3 (F_3 + F_{13} \eta_1) \quad (\text{D 14})$$

and

$$\overset{(2)}{\tilde{F}}(\eta_1, \eta_3) = F_2 + F_{12} \eta_1 + F_{23} \eta_3 + F_{123} \eta_1 \eta_3 = F_2 + F_{12} \eta_1 + \eta_3 (F_{23} + F_{123} \eta_1) \quad (\text{D 15})$$

To find the factorization of the F from conditions (D 9-D 13) we have to consider various forms of the F . The main families of solutions are labeled by $F_0 = 0$ (A) and $F_0 \neq 0$ (B) and obtained as follows:

A: $F_0 \neq 0$.

1. $F_i, F_{ij}, F_{123} \neq 0$. Using (D 9) and (D 13) above relations (D 14) and (D 15) can be written as

$$\overset{(2)}{F} = F_0 e^{\frac{F_1}{F_0} \eta_1} \cdot e^{\frac{F_3}{F_0} \eta_3}, \quad \overset{(2)}{\tilde{F}} = F_2 e^{\frac{F_{12}}{F_2} \eta_1} \cdot e^{\frac{F_{23}}{F_2} \eta_3} \quad (\text{D 16})$$

and from (D 10) we get that, $e^{\frac{F_3}{F_0} \eta_3} = e^{\frac{F_{23}}{F_2} \eta_3}$.

2. $F_3 = 0$. Then $F_{13} = F_{23} = F_{123} = 0$. Hence,

$$\overset{(2)}{F} = F_0 e^{\frac{F_1}{F_0} \eta_1}, \quad \overset{(2)}{\tilde{F}} = F_2 e^{\frac{F_{12}}{F_2} \eta_1}, \quad (\text{D 17})$$

therefore η_3 dependence factorizes trivially. Let us mention here that above reasoning is independent of the logarithm criterion, but (as discussed in the main text) is equivalent to the it.

B: $F_0 = 0$.

1. $F_3 = 0, F_1 = 0$; and $F_2 \neq 0, F_{13} = 0$. Here $\overset{(2)}{F} = 0$ and $\overset{(2)}{\tilde{F}}$ has full expansion (D 15), but η_3 dependence factorizes because of the Eq.(D 13).

2. $F_3 = 0, F_1 = 0$; and $F_2 = 0 = F_{12}$. Hence,

$$\overset{(2)}{F} = F_{13}\eta_1\eta_3, \quad \overset{(2)}{\tilde{F}} = (F_{23} + F_{123}\eta_1)\eta_3 \quad (\text{D } 18)$$

3. $F_3 = 0, F_1 = 0$; and $F_2 = 0, F_{23} = 0 = F_{13}$. Here we have

$$\overset{(2)}{F} = 0, \quad \overset{(2)}{\tilde{F}} = (F_{12} + F_{123}\eta_3)\eta_1 \quad (\text{D } 19)$$

4. $F_3 = 0, F_1 \neq 0$; and $F_2 = 0 = F_{23}$. In this case $\overset{(2)}{F} = F_1\eta_1 e^{\frac{F_{13}}{F_1}\eta_3}$ and $\overset{(2)}{\tilde{F}} = F_{12}\eta_1 e^{\frac{F_{123}}{F_{12}}\eta_3}$. Due to (D 13) both functions have common factor $e^{\frac{F_{13}}{F_1}\eta_3}$.

5. $F_3 = 0, F_1 \neq 0$; and $F_2 \neq 0, F_{13} = F_{23} = F_{123} = 0$. Hence $\overset{(2)}{F} = F_1\eta_1$ and $\overset{(2)}{\tilde{F}} = F_2 + F_{12}\eta_1$, thus factorization is trivial.

6. $F_3 = 0, F_1 \neq 0$; and $F_2, F_{12}, F_{13}, F_{23}, F_{123} \neq 0$. We have $\overset{(2)}{F} = F_1\eta_1 e^{\frac{F_{13}}{F_1}\eta_3}$, then due to Eq. (D 13) $\overset{(2)}{\tilde{F}} = F_2 e^{\frac{F_{12}}{F_2}\eta_1} e^{\frac{F_{23}}{F_2}\eta_3}$. Both functions have common factor $e^{\frac{F_{23}}{F_2}\eta_3}$, because of Eq. (D 12).

7. $F_3 \neq 0$; and $F_1 = F_2 = F_{12} = 0$. Here factorization is obvious, $\overset{(2)}{F} = (F_3 + F_{13}\eta_1)\eta_3$ and $\overset{(2)}{\tilde{F}} = (F_{23} + F_{123}\eta_1)\eta_3$

Appendix E. Proof of the factorization condition of F for $n=4$

Case (i-j)(k-l): Let $F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4) = G(\eta_i, \eta_j)\tilde{G}(\eta_k, \eta_l)$. We have

$$w_{ik}(F) = \begin{vmatrix} G \cdot \tilde{G} & \partial_i G \cdot \tilde{G} \\ G \cdot \partial_k \tilde{G} & \partial_i G \cdot \partial_k \tilde{G} \end{vmatrix} = 0 \quad (\text{E } 1)$$

and also

$$w_{ik}(\partial_j F) = \begin{vmatrix} \partial_j G \cdot \tilde{G} & \partial_i \partial_j G \cdot \tilde{G} \\ \partial_j G \cdot \partial_k \tilde{G} & \partial_i \partial_j G \cdot \partial_k \tilde{G} \end{vmatrix} = 0 \quad (\text{E } 2)$$

$$w_{ik}(\partial_j \partial_l F) = \begin{vmatrix} \partial_j G \cdot \partial_l \tilde{G} & \partial_i \partial_j G \cdot \partial_l \tilde{G} \\ \partial_j G \cdot \partial_k \partial_l \tilde{G} & \partial_i \partial_j G \cdot \partial_k \partial_l \tilde{G} \end{vmatrix} = 0 \quad (\text{E } 3)$$

Analogously relevant determinants vanish for other configurations of indices.

Case (i-j)(l): Let $F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4) = G(\eta_i, \eta_j, \eta_k)\tilde{G}(\eta_l)$ then,

$$w_{il}(F) = \begin{vmatrix} G\tilde{G} & \partial_i G \cdot \tilde{G} \\ G \cdot \partial_l \tilde{G} & \partial_i G \cdot \partial_l \tilde{G} \end{vmatrix} = 0 \quad (\text{E } 4)$$

and also, as above,

$$w_{il}(\partial_j F) = \begin{vmatrix} \partial_j G \cdot \tilde{G} & \partial_i \partial_j G \cdot \tilde{G} \\ \partial_j G \cdot \partial_l \tilde{G} & \partial_i \partial_j G \cdot \partial_l \tilde{G} \end{vmatrix} = 0 \quad (\text{E } 5)$$

and

$$w_{il}(\partial_j \partial_k F) = \begin{vmatrix} \partial_j \partial_k G \cdot \tilde{G} & \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k G \cdot \tilde{G} \\ \partial_j \partial_k G \cdot \partial_l \tilde{G} & \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k G \cdot \partial_l \tilde{G} \end{vmatrix} = 0 \quad (\text{E } 6)$$

Analogous relations take place for other choices of indices i, j, k .

Appendix F. n=3 Hyperdeterminant in terms of Wronskians

The hyperdeterminant is frequently written in the form

$$\text{Det}(F) = d_1 - 2d_2 + 4d_3, \quad (\text{F } 1)$$

where

$$d_1 = F_0^2 F_{123}^2 + F_3^2 F_{12}^2 + F_2^2 F_{13}^2 + F_1^2 F_{23}^2 \quad (\text{F } 2)$$

$$d_2 = F_0 F_3 F_{12} F_{123} + F_0 F_2 F_{13} F_{123} + F_0 F_1 F_{23} F_{123} + F_2 F_3 F_{13} F_{12} \\ + F_1 F_3 F_{23} F_{12} + F_1 F_2 F_{23} F_{13} \quad (\text{F } 3)$$

$$d_3 = F_0 F_{23} F_{13} F_{12} + F_1 F_2 F_3 F_{123}. \quad (\text{F } 4)$$

Let us recall that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1 = F_0 F_{123} + F_1 F_{23} - F_2 F_{13} - F_3 F_{12} \quad (\text{F } 5)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2 = F_0 F_{123} - F_1 F_{23} + F_2 F_{13} - F_3 F_{12} \quad (\text{F } 6)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_3 = F_0 F_{123} - F_1 F_{23} - F_2 F_{13} + F_3 F_{12} \quad (\text{F } 7)$$

and

$$w_{12}(F|_{\eta_3=0}) = F_0 F_{12} - F_1 F_2, \quad w_{12}(\partial_3 F) = F_3 F_{123} - F_{13} F_{23} \quad (\text{F } 8)$$

$$w_{13}(F|_{\eta_2=0}) = F_0 F_{13} - F_1 F_3, \quad w_{13}(\partial_2 F) = F_2 F_{123} - F_{12} F_{23} \quad (\text{F } 9)$$

$$w_{23}(F|_{\eta_1=0}) = F_0 F_{23} - F_2 F_3, \quad w_{23}(\partial_1 F) = F_1 F_{123} - F_{12} F_{13} \quad (\text{F } 10)$$

Direct inspection shows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_i = 3d_1 - 2d_2 \quad (\text{F } 11)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^3 w_{ij}(F|_{\eta_k=0}) w_{ij}(\partial_k F) = d_2 - 3d_3 \quad (\text{F } 12)$$

Together with Eq. (F 1) we get relation (8.13). It is easy to get also analogous relation in the non-symmetric form e.g.

$$\text{Det}(F) = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1^2 - 4w_{23}(F|_{\eta_1=0})w_{23}(\partial_1 F). \quad (\text{F } 13)$$

Appendix G. Representatives of entanglement SLOCC classes in natural form

We present here the literal translation of states given by Chterental and Doković in [48]. Such representatives were firstly obtained by Verstraete et al. in [49], but in a slightly different form.

$$\Psi_1 = \frac{a+d}{2}e^{\vec{\eta}} + \frac{a-d}{2}(\eta_1\eta_2 + \eta_3\eta_4) + \frac{b+c}{2}(\eta_1\eta_3 + \eta_2\eta_4) + \frac{b-c}{2}(\eta_1\eta_4 + \eta_2\eta_3) \quad (\text{G } 1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_2 = & \frac{a+c-i}{2}e^{\vec{\eta}} + \frac{a-c+i}{2}(\eta_1\eta_2 + \eta_3\eta_4) + \frac{b+c+i}{2}(\eta_1\eta_3 + \eta_2\eta_4) \\ & + \frac{b-c-i}{2}(\eta_1\eta_4 + \eta_2\eta_3) + \frac{i}{2}(\eta_1 + \eta_4 + \eta_2\eta_3\eta_4 + \eta_1\eta_2\eta_3 - \eta_2 - \eta_3 \\ & - \eta_1\eta_3\eta_4 - \eta_1\eta_2\eta_4) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G } 2)$$

$$\Psi_3 = \frac{a}{2}e^{\eta_1\eta_2 + \eta_3\eta_4} + \frac{b+1}{2}(\eta_1\eta_3 + \eta_2\eta_4) + \frac{b-1}{2}(\eta_1\eta_4 + \eta_2\eta_3) + \frac{1}{2}(\eta_3 + \eta_1\eta_2\eta_4 - \eta_4 - \eta_1\eta_2\eta_3) \quad (\text{G } 3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_4 = & \frac{a+b}{2}e^{\vec{\eta}} + b(\eta_1\eta_3 + \eta_2\eta_4) + i(-\eta_2\eta_3 + \eta_1\eta_4) + \frac{a-b}{2}(\eta_1\eta_2 + \eta_3\eta_4) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}(\eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_1\eta_3\eta_4 + \eta_1\eta_2\eta_4 - \eta_1 - \eta_4 - \eta_2\eta_3\eta_4 - \eta_1\eta_2\eta_3) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G } 4)$$

$$\Psi_5 = \frac{a}{2}e^{\eta_1\eta_2 + \eta_3\eta_4} - 2i(\eta_2 + \eta_1\eta_4 - \eta_1\eta_2\eta_3) \quad (\text{G } 5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_6 = & \frac{a+i}{2}e^{\eta_1\eta_2 + \eta_3\eta_4} + \frac{a+i+1}{2}(\eta_1\eta_3 + \eta_2\eta_4) + \frac{a-i-1}{2}(\eta_2\eta_3 + \eta_1\eta_4) \\ & + \frac{i+1}{2}(\eta_3 + \eta_1\eta_2\eta_4) + \frac{i-1}{2}(\eta_4 + \eta_1\eta_2\eta_3) - \frac{i}{2}(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_2\eta_3\eta_4 + \eta_1\eta_3\eta_4) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G } 6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_7 = & \eta_1\eta_4 + \eta_1\eta_3 + \eta_2\eta_4 - \eta_2\eta_3 + \eta_1\eta_2 + \eta_1\eta_2\eta_3\eta_4 + i(\eta_1\eta_4 + \eta_1\eta_3 - \eta_2 - \eta_2\eta_3\eta_4 \\ & - \eta_1\eta_2\eta_4 + \eta_1\eta_2\eta_3) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G } 7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_8 = & \frac{i+1}{2}(e^{\vec{\eta}} - \eta_3 - \eta_1\eta_2\eta_4) + \frac{i-1}{2}(\eta_4 + \eta_1\eta_2\eta_3 - \eta_3\eta_4 - \eta_1\eta_2) + \frac{1}{2}(\eta_2 + \eta_1\eta_4 + \eta_1\eta_3 \\ & + \eta_2\eta_3\eta_4 + \eta_1 + \eta_2\eta_3 + \eta_2\eta_4 + \eta_1\eta_3\eta_4) - i(\eta_1 + \eta_2\eta_4 + \eta_2\eta_3 + \eta_1\eta_3\eta_4) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G } 8)$$

$$\Psi_9 = \frac{1}{2}e^{\eta_1}(e^{\eta_2\eta_3\eta_4} + \eta_2 + \eta_3\eta_4 + i(\eta_3 + \eta_4 - \eta_2\eta_4 - \eta_2\eta_3)) \quad (\text{G } 9)$$

References

- [1] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2000).
- [2] H. S. Green, Phys. Rev. **90**, 270-273 (1953).
- [3] Y. Ohnuki and S. Kamefuchi, *Quantum Field Theory and Parastatistics* (University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo 1982).
- [4] L.-A. Wu and D. A. Lidar, J. Math. Phys. **43**, 4506-4525 (2002).
- [5] A. M. Frydryszak Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 22**, 2513–2533 (2007).
- [6] A. T. Filippov, A. P. Isaev and A. B. Kurdikov: *Theor. Math. Phys.* **94**, 150-165 (1993).
- [7] M.B. Barbaro, A. Molinari, F. Palumbo, and M.R. Quaglia, Phys. Lett. B **476**, 477–487 (2000)
- [8] A. M. Frydryszak, Czech. J. Phys. **56**, 1155–1161 (2006).
- [9] A. M. Frydryszak, Rep. Math. Phys. **61**, 227–235 (2008).
- [10] A. Mandilara, V. M. Akulin, A. V. Smilga, and L. Viola, Phys. Rev. A **74**, 022331 (2006)
- [11] A. Mandilara and L. Viola, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **40** S167-S180 (2007)
- [12] F. Palumbo, Phys. Rev. **D 50**, R1917–R1920 (1993).
- [13] F. Palumbo, Phys. Rev. **D 50**, 2826–2829 (1994).
- [14] F. Palumbo, Nucl. Phys. **B 37** (Proc. Suppl.), 522–524 (1994).
- [15] M.B. Barbaro, A. Molinari, and M.R. Quaglia, Phys. Rev. C **64**, 011302(R) (2001)
- [16] M. Čadek and J. Šimša, Aequationes Math. **40**, 8–25 (1990); M. Čadek and J. Šimša, Czech. Math. J. **41**, 342–358 (1991)
- [17] B. S. De Witt "Supermanifolds", 2nd ed. CUP, Cambridge (1992)
- [18] A. Rogers, "Supermanifolds: Theory and Applications", World Scientific, Singapore (2007)
- [19] O. Holtz and B. Sturmfels, "Hyperdeterminantal relations among symmetric principal minors", unpublished, (2006)
- [20] This formula is implicit in considerations in Ref. [21], where only the last term of the Equation (3.41) was important.
- [21] G. S. Staples, Advances Appl. Clifford Alg. **15**, 213–232 (2005); G. S. Staples and R. Schott, *Clifford algebras and random graphs* Prepublication de l'Institut Élie Caratan **37**, (2005); G. S. Staples and R. Schott, Eur. J. Comb. doi:10.1016/j.ejc.2007.07.003 ; G. S. Staples and R. Schott, J. Theor. Probab. **20**, 257-274 (2007)
- [22] N. Wallach "Quantum computing and entanglement for matematicians" (unpublished lecture notes).
- [23] D. Meyer and N. Wallach, "Invariants for multiple qubits: the case of 3 qubits" (Mathematics of computation, Comput. Math. Ser. , Chapman& Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 77–97, 2002).
- [24] R. Casalbuoni, Nuovo. Cim. **33**, 389–431 (1976).
- [25] F. A. Berezin and M. Marinov, Ann. Phys. (NY), **104**, 336–362 (1977).
- [26] G. Mahler G and V. A. Weberruß, *Quantum Networks (Dynamics of Open Nanostructures)* (Springer, Berlin, 1995).
- [27] G. Dunne, J. Feinberg, Phys. Rev. **D 57**, 1271–1276 (1998).
- [28] G. Dunne and J. Mannix, Phys. Lett. B **428**, 115–119 (1998).
- [29] J.-Y. Choi and S.-I. Hong, Phys. Rev. A **60**, 796–799 (1999).
- [30] V. M. Tkachuk and P. Roy, Phys. Lett. A **263**, 245–249 (1999).

- [31] D. A. Meyer and N. R. Wallach, J. Math. Phys. **43**, 4273 (2002).
- [32] C. Emary, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37**, 8293–8302 (2004).
- [33] L. Amico, R. Fazio, A. Osterloh, and V. Vedral, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 517–576 (2008).
- [34] K. Eckert, J. Schliemann, and D. Bruß and M. Lewenstein, Ann. Phys (N.Y.) **299**, 88–127 (2002)
- [35] S. Cyparissos, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **18**, 360–362 (1906)
- [36] T. M. Rassias, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica **14**, 377–382 (1986)
- [37] F. Neuman, Linear Algebra Appl. **134**, 153–164 (1990)
- [38] A. Prástaro and T. M. Rassias, J. Comp. Appl. Math. **113**, 93–122 (2000)
- [39] A. Miyake, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 012108 (2003) **67**, 012108 (2003); A. Miyake, F. Verstraete, Phys. Rev. A **69**, 012101 (2004).
- [40] A. Miyake, and M. Wadati, Quantum Inf. Comput. **2**, 540–546 (2002)
- [41] J. G. Luque and J. Y. Thibon, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 042303 (2003).
- [42] F. Verstraete, J. Dehaene, B. DeMoor, and H. Verschelde, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 052112 (2002).
- [43] A. Osterloh and J. Siewert, "Constructing N -qubit entanglement monotones from antilinear operators", quant - ph/0410102.
- [44] P. Levay, J. Phys. A: Math and General **37**, 1821–1841 (2004).
- [45] W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2245 (1998).
- [46] V. Coffman, J. Kundu, and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. A **61**, 052306 (2000).
- [47] G. Brennen, QIC **3**, 619 (2003), quant - ph/0305094
- [48] O. Chterental and D. Z. Doković, "Normal forms and tensor ranks of pure states of four qubits", quant - ph/0612184.
- [49] F. Verstraete, J. Dehaene, and B. De Moor, Phys. Rev. A **68**, 012103 (2003).
- [50] X-J. Ren, W. Jiang, X. Zhou, Z-W. Zhou, and G-C. Guo, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 012343 (2008)
- [51] Yan-Kui Bai, Dong Yang, and Z. D. Wang, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 022336 (2007)
- [52] E. R. Caianiello, *Combinatorics and renormalization in quantum field theory*, (Frontiers in Physics (Reading-London-Amsterdam: W. A. Benjamin Inc., 1973).
- [53] L. Valiant, Theoretical Computer Science **8**, 189-201 (1979).